

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_123347</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparative study of Nasofacial angular parameters of Hausa and Igbo subjects resident in Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Ethnic variations in nasofacial angular parameters have been well documented in this study. These studies have shown that different ethnic groups often exhibit distinct facial features, which are reflected in the measurements of nasofacial angles & these differences are attributed due to combination of various genetic factors & environmental influences.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b> Anthropometry study of Nasofacial angular parameters of Hausa and Igbo subjects resident in Nigeria	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>yes</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>yes</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	There is significant values in nasofacial angles between the Hausa and Igbo populations emphasizes the importance of considering ethnic diversity in facial analysis, especially in the context of designing 3D facial models, forensic reconstructions, or surgical planning. These data are vital in clinical and technological applications.so in that way this manuscript is scientifically & technically sound .	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Slight Grammar correction	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Pratishtha Potdar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Noida International Institute of Medical Science, India</b>