

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_123781
Title of the Manuscript:	Content Uptake and Yield of African Marigold as Influenced by the Application of Sewage Sludge Biochar (Sewchar)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Kind of suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Suggested in the track change mode in manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Suggested in the track change mode in manuscript	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Suggested in the track change mode in manuscript	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Suggested in the track change mode in manuscript	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Author needs major revisions Introduction needs thorough revision</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure connecting dots with preceding paragraphs 2. Many references are missing. Needs references to prove certain things 3. Seems author has given definitions of each term, rather explaining the need of the study 4. Why the study is do important and why is the focus on sewchar 5. Can mention about sdgs <p>Material Methods has some lacuna</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Year of the stud 2. Initial soil conditions of the experimental plot 3. Ambiguity in methodology. 4. Lack of proper writing skills 5. Too much repetition 6. Lack of some important references <p>Results and Discussion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Author has simply explained the tables 2. Further needs understanding between difference in writing styles of thesis and manuscript 3. Lack of discussion 4. Too much elaboration where not required 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Labdhi Dedhia</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>India</p>