

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_119549</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Unraveling promising genetic variability associated with strong culm and yield parameters in inter sub-specific cross derived recombinant inbred lines in rice (Oryza sativa L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, it is important	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not suitable Title: Genetic variability of Rice (Oryza sativa L.) Inbred lines for association of strong culm and yield.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Not comprehensive	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not well structured and appropriate	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Correct but lacks interpretation by using analysis result in tables	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	In the result and discussion part needs additional reference	
<b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>		

## Review Form 1.7

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It lacks result and discussion write up as the authors explanation and also references experimental result cited should be modified as other authors result.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Abstract</b> should be included objective, description of the study area, Methodology <b>Introduction</b> reduce the introduction, include objective <b>Materials and methods:</b> sub section, Description of the study area, Data to be collected, Methods of data analysis include the formula <b>Result and discussion:</b> the whole result should be indicated in table form in each sub section than in figure (ANOVA, GCV, PCV, Heritability and genetic advance as well as correlation)	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yetsedaw Aynewa Demozie
Department, University & Country	Ethiopia