

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAAR_122153 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The Physicochemical And Nutritional Analysis Of Coconut Oil (Cocos Nucifera) Extracted From The Tall Tree Variety |
| Type of the Article | Original Research |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Importance of manuscript: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This manuscript is Very informative and lucidous. This manuscript provides coconut oil's therapeutic characteristics, which are beneficial to individuals with skin diseases. Dislike: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The reference part of this manuscript is not in the format of "Vancouver style". | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes, the title of the manuscript is suitable | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Abstract part is comprehensive | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | The structure of the manuscript is well planned | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Scientifically Technical correction is needed for this manuscript under the headings of references part. The author | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Yes, Additional references number are needed under the introduction part. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Minor revision English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communication | |
| Optional/General comments | General comments- Uder the headings of introduction and materials and method, references part of the manuscript | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Pallabi Mahanta |
| Department, University & Country | Sri Sri College of Ayurvedic Science and Research Hospital, Sri Sri University, India |