

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123294
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Analysis Of Cotton Cultivation In Adilabad And Nalgonda Districts Of Telangana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This article is helpful for the given study region because it may enable the farmers to detect the cost and manage the expenses. The government may undertake interventions to fill the gaps based on the limits if the authors mentioned it well. However, the author(s) don't explain those gap properly.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title requires modification as 'Economic analysis...' encompasses a broad subject; the authors may consider 'Cotton production costs in...'</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Incorporating monetary amounts in dollars may benefit all readers throughout the manuscript. Rather of stating 'wrong usage of the input...', it is more effective to specify the particular input and cultivation approach that escalated output costs.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Unnecessary punctuation marks shall be removed ✓ It is better to put using symbol for all measurements ✓ The introduction section is categorized, If it is the journal standard it is okay otherwise this title is not necessary. ✓ I feel it is better to mention all figures in terms of metric SI units. ✓ The introduction should include the previous research gaps. Moreover, nothing is mentioned about the cotton production cost variables. ✓ Punctuation error, check the whole document. ✓ In the results and discussion part use numbering instead of alphabets to label the topics ✓ Rewrite as 'percent' ✓ How you estimate the bullock labour cost? ✓ ...Rewrite it as 'Interest on fixed capital (IFC)'. ✓ In table 3 title the bracket is unnecessary. ✓ In table 3, Is 'Family labour' technical work? If not better to change it as just 'Labour'. ✓ In table3, Machine cost should be put separately as 'Machine cost', don't mix it with labour cost. ✓ In table 3, It is better to categorize material cost into Direct and Indirect ✓ In table 3, What is this FYM? Please mention it. ✓ In table 3, Rewrite '@' full word, don't use short form of words. ✓ Fixed costs in table 3, the mentioned costs are overheads, modify it accordingly. ✓ In table 3 footnote, Which parentheses, I am unable to notice any of it related. ✓ Don't use 'Bold' font during naming tables and figures unless it is a journal's standard. ✓ Improper comma usage during writing numbers 	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Technically, there are several issues that require correction. The cost classification should be corrected. Their implications should be well explained, and the works to be conducted to minimize the production cost should be suggested.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are not enough.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The document's use of the English language is insufficient; it is important to maintain consistency in unit usage throughout. The entire document needs to have its grammar and tense usage corrected. Technical words should be used.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bewuket Teshome Wagaye
Department, University & Country	Bahir Dar University, Ethiopia