

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_123374
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation and Linear Regression Study between Physico-Biochemical Attributes of Ber cv. Umran under Ambient Storage
Type of the Article	Original Research Articles

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides compelling evidence highlighting the importance of postharvest treatment for ber, a highly perishable fruit in the Indian market. The authors offer a solid background to the study, present clear analysis procedures, and maintain a concise write-up.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable, but "study" should be omitted from the title. I suggest a refined title as: Correlation and Linear Regression Analysis of Physico-Biochemical Attributes of Ber (<i>Ziziphus mauritiana</i>) cv. Umran During Ambient Storage.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is ok.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, subsections are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well-written except for minor grammatical errors. Moreover, in the materials and methods section, the source of the fruits was not mentioned. Also, replacing the linear regression procedure with a more robust regularization technique, such as ridge regression, would address the multicollinearity issue among the explanatory variables. This change would enhance the credibility and impact of the research. See the paper; by Wilson et al., 2018; 'Multicollinearity in fruit quality traits: A ridge regression study in apples. Journal of Horticultural Science, 2018.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references are recent, however, specific references explaining the results are insufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but as indicated in my review there are a few grammatical and technical errors. They have been highlighted in the review	
Optional/General comments	Generally, the paper can be published after the corrections are made. SEE ATTACHMENT	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mildred Osei-Kwarteng
Department, University & Country	University for Development Studies, Ghana