

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_123213
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Agroforestry Practices in Deoghar District, Jharkhand
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	See my comments in paper(red color) farming practice like agroforestry system is one of the best alternatives of Global climate change impact and this promotion is viable in the international level where the temperature and weathers are changeable. The topics is very important, The paper quality is extremely poor. The researcher is not clear about the research methodology and Results section are extremely poor.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, Title is not well set, this title is not matching with the overall paper Proposed: 1)A study on analysis of agroforestry practice: A case of Deoghar district of Jharkhand, India; 2) Agroforestry in Deoghar District, Jharkhand: Practices, Challenges, and Opportunities" or "Integrating Agriculture and Forestry: Insights from Agroforestry Practices in Deoghar, Jharkhand, India"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, Need a serious modification (See comments in paper)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No (See Comments in Paper)	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	See my comprehensive comments and suggestions and follow accordingly. The scientific relevancy is high but the paper quality is extremely low The write can follow my google scholar account for many papers that is published (Agroforestry), Many papers and cases study is published and this could be one of the models for the writer in future.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, follow my comments in Paper in red colour	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English need to be improved.	
Optional/General comments	Following advice-giving for improvement: Mentioned the types of articles, Arrange all content of paper heading-wise, manage each section using heading, All comments have been made in the red color, please address such comments	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raju Chhetri
Department, University & Country	Hetauda College, Nepal