

ReviewForm

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_122766
Title of the Manuscript:	A study on existing hygiene practices of rural women of Assam
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript, titled "A study on existing hygiene practices of rural women of Assam," provides valuable insights into the hygiene practices of rural women in Assam, India. It highlights the critical role of hygiene in maintaining health and preventing diseases, emphasizing the need for educational programs to improve hygiene practices among rural women. The study's findings, which show a significant portion of women practicing moderate levels of hygiene, underscore the importance of targeted interventions to enhance their health and well-being.</p> <p>I would like to appreciate this manuscript for its thorough methodology and its focus on a crucial public health issue. The detailed analysis of hygiene practices across different districts offers a comprehensive understanding of the current situation, which is essential for developing effective health policies and programs. However, the manuscript could benefit from a more in-depth discussion on the barriers to better hygiene practices and potential solutions to overcome these challenges.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The current title of the manuscript, "A study on existing hygiene practices of rural women of Assam," is suitable as it clearly conveys the focus and scope of the research. It indicates that the study is centered on hygiene practices among rural women in a specific region, which is Assam.</p> <p>However, an alternative title could be:</p> <p><i>"Assessing Hygiene Practices Among Rural Women in Assam: Implications for Public Health Interventions"</i></p> <p>This alternative title emphasizes the assessment aspect of the study and hints at the broader</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the manuscript provides a good overview of the study, including the definition of hygiene, the scope of the study, the methodology, and some key findings. However, it could be improved to make it more comprehensive and informative. Here are my suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clearly state the objective of the study at the beginning of the abstract. 2. Briefly mention the methodology, such as the sampling technique and data collection method. 3. Highlight the significance of this research. 4. Mention the implications of the findings for public health or policy. 	

Review Form

<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>While the subsections and structure are quite appropriate for this manuscript, here is a detailed overview of them:</p> <p><u>Structure of the manuscript:</u></p> <p>The manuscript is well-structured, with clear subsections that logically flow from the introduction to the conclusion, making it easy to follow the study's progression. To improve it, consider adding subheadings within the 'Results and Discussion' section to further organize the content and highlight key findings and their implications more distinctly.</p> <p><u>Subsections of the manuscript:</u></p> <p><u>Abstract:</u> The abstract provides a concise summary of the study, including the definition of hygiene and key findings. However, it could benefit from a clearer statement of the study's objective and other suggestions stated in the above section.</p> <p><u>Introduction:</u> The introduction effectively sets the context by explaining the importance of hygiene and the specific challenges faced in rural areas. It could be enhanced by including more recent references and statistics to support the claims.</p> <p><u>Methodology:</u> The methodology section is detailed, explaining the sampling technique and data collection</p>	
---	--	--

	<p>Results and Discussion: This section provides a thorough analysis of the data, with clear tables and explanations of the findings. The discussion could be expanded to include more interpretation of the results and their implications for public health interventions.</p> <p>Conclusion: The conclusion summarizes the key findings and emphasizes the importance of educating rural women on hygiene practices. But, it could be strengthened by suggesting specific recommendations for future research or policy changes.</p> <p>References: The references are relevant and provide a solid foundation for the study. Please ensure all references are up-to-date, more recent and formatted consistently according to the chosen</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to firstly, a thorough review of existing literature, which helps to contextualize the study within the broader field of public health and hygiene practices. This demonstrates a solid understanding of the current state of knowledge and identifies gaps that the study aims to fill. Secondly, the manuscript provides clear operational definitions for key terms such as “hygiene” and “practice,” ensuring that readers understand exactly what is being measured and analyzed. This clarity enhances the study’s reliability and reproducibility. Thirdly, the use of statistical methods to analyze the data, including the categorization of hygiene practices into different levels, adds rigor to the study. The presentation of results in well-organized tables allows for easy interpretation and validation of the findings. And lastly, the study addresses a significant public health issue by focusing on hygiene practices among rural women in Assam. The findings have practical implications for designing targeted interventions and educational programs, making the research both relevant and actionable.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references in the manuscript are relevant and provide a solid foundation for the study. However, some of the references are outdated, with the most recent being from 2016. To enhance the manuscript’s credibility and ensure it reflects the latest research, it would be beneficial to include more recent references. Also,</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the manuscript are generally suitable for scholarly communications. The text is clear, and the terminology used is appropriate for the subject matter. However, there are a few areas where improvements could be made to enhance readability such as some sentences are overly long and could be broken down for better clarity. Ensure consistent use of terms and phrases throughout the manuscript. For instance, the terms “hygiene practices” and “hygienic practices” should be used consistently. Additionally, pay attention to punctuation, especially</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript addresses a critical public health issue with a well-structured approach and clear findings. Minor revisions in language and additional recent references will further enhance its quality and impact.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanya Mithani
Department, University & Country	Asia Pacific University of Technology & Innovation, Malaysia