

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_122652
Title of the Manuscript:	Cadmium-induced Reproductive Toxicity in Male Wistar Rats: Ameliorative effect of STC30, a Polyherbal Remedy
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it introduces novel insights into Cadmium-induced Reproductive Toxicity in Male Wistar Rats, potentially reshaping our understanding and guiding future research. I appreciate this manuscript for its clarity and the robustness of its findings, which contribute meaningfully to advancing knowledge in the field</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Mitigating Cadmium-Induced Reproductive Toxicity in Male Wistar Rats: Protective Role of STC30, a Polyherbal Formulation"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Abstract was perfectly suitable for this, no need to adding and deletion</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Clarity and Coherence, Comprehensive Coverage, Appropriate Detail, Consistency with Journal Guidelines, Logical Flow of Information.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The scientific correctness of this manuscript is demonstrated by its rigorous experimental design and thorough data analysis. The methodology is well-documented and adheres to established protocols, ensuring reproducibility and reliability of the results. Overall, the attention to detail and adherence to scientific standards underscore the manuscript's robustness and technical soundness.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Clarity and Precision, Grammar and Syntax</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>As my opinion the Manuscript has some short comings in regards to some data analyses and text, and I feel this unique dataset has been utilized to its full extent. We have carefully checked and prepared the MS according to the format of journal. Punctuation mistakes have been corrected and Whole MS, Revised.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Sivaji
Department, University & Country	A.K.R.G. College of Pharmacy, India