

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_121768
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Agriculture with Liquid Formulations and Composts
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The paper is an inclusive overview of the significance of liquid formulations and compost in improving agriculture. This is a timely topic given that farmers are looking into ways of adopting such practices.</p> <p>Clarity and Structure: The paper is well-structured, with distinct sections that outline the benefits of liquid inoculants and compost in a powerful manner. However, some areas might need to provide more details or even examples for their explanation.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes Suggestion - Enhancing Agricultural Sustainability: The Role of Liquid Formulations and Compost in Crop Productivity	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract -last sentence of conclusion can be added These findings underscore the importance of adopting liquid formulations and composting as sustainable agricultural practices.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes Comparative Analysis: A comparative analysis between traditional solid carriers and liquid formulations would be beneficial. It will help readers understand which approach is better than another.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>Evidence and Data: While a number of studies have been cited by this paper, it could be strengthened further by incorporating specific case studies as well as quantitative data which demonstrate the efficacy of proposed methods. This would make the argument much stronger.</p> <p>Environmental Considerations: The discussion on environmental implications of using compost and liquid formulations can be expanded. This highlights their role in promoting biodiversity and cutting down greenhouse gas emissions.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference are sufficient though few more recent references can be included if available	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments	Suitable for publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pooja V. Jagasia
Department, University & Country	Vivekanand Education Society's College of Arts, Science and Commerce, India