

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_121365
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating the Impacts of Flipped Classroom Approach on Class Nine Students Learning of Physics Concepts
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> <p>1.</p>	<p>The study is relevant with reference to the changed scenario in imparting education. Flipped classroom are useful if the student strength is less. If the number of students are more, infrastructure needs to be augmented so that flipped classroom model is effective.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The researcher has used mixed method approach for the present study and this will be helpful for future researchers in the same subject or any other subject 2. Impact of students learning and motivation are also important parameters which needs to be considered 3. Flipped classroom should be based on manual method instead of online. If the bandwidth is not optimum, the students will not be able to understand the concepts due to poor internet connectivity <p>Communication skills of instructor is also an important factor for the success of the flipped classroom model</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? Yes (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	Satisfactory	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	Satisfactory	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The researcher has applied both quantitative and qualitative data. The findings are based on the data and appropriate analysis are incorporated 2. Sample size considered for the study is 50 and based on the statistical principles, any sample greater than 30 is considered as large sample 3. Based on the methodology adopted, the researcher has presented the findings. If large samples are considered, the outcome may vary <p>Based on the inference of qualitative and quantitative analysis, the the present work is presented satisfactorily</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>Satisfactory</p> <p>:-</p>		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Satisfactory	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. S. Venkata Subramanian
Department, University & Country	India