Morphological diversity in litchi based on tree and leaf characteristics

Abstract

On the basis of tree and leaf characteristics, twenty litchi genotypes were evaluated. Numerous differences have been observed between the genotypes. The genotypes KT-1 and GC-2 recorded the highest (5.35meters) and lowest heights(4.50meters), respectively. ~~,at 5.35 and 4.50 meters, respectively.~~ The girth was greatest for the genotype KD-1 (63.01 cm) and lowest for the genotype GC-2 (49.99 cm). The genotype DP-2 had the smallest canopy diameter (5.29 m) and the genotype KS-1 the greatest (6.40 m) among the ones investigated. In GC-2 and KT-1, the plant volumes ranged from 20.35 m^3 to 26.79 m^3. Leaf exhibited a great deal of variance. Nine genotypes showed vivid pink in their new leaves, whereas eleven genotypes showed yellowish green. Comparably, the colour of the leaves varied among the genotypes; nine had dark green, whereas eleven had green. Nine genotypes displayed a downward curve from the midrib, whereas eleven genotypes displayed an upward curve. According to an analysis of the number of leaflets on each compound leaf, Shahi had the most (7.24), while GC-1 had the fewest (6.16). The longest rachis measured 11.10 cm in KD-2 and the shortest 9.75 cm in GP-2. The genotype DT-1 exhibited the smallest petiole length, while the genotype KS-1 had the longest. The length of the leaflets varied significantly between genotypes, ranging from 10.86 cm (KD-1) to 13.81 cm (China). The genotype DP-2 had the shortest breadth (3.70 cm), while genotype KD-1 had the widest (4.36 cm).

Key words: Litchi, leaf colour, rachis length, leaflet length

Introduction

An important member of the Sapindaceae family, litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) is an evergreen subtropical fruit tree with significant mycorrhizal associations (Marboh et al., 2019, Lal et al., 2017a, Lal et al., 2019;Lal and Nath, 2020a). Litchi is a fruit crop that is subtropical and evergreen, possessing significant nutritional and therapeutic properties. It is an excellent source of vitamin C (Lal, 2018, Lal et al., 2018a) and phenolics (Lal et al., 2018b). Its climatic requirements are very particular (Lal et al. 2017b). Some of the genotypes are susceptible to sun burn and fruit cracking (Lal et al., 2022a; Lal et al., 2023a, Lal et al., 2023b, Lal and Sahu, 2022). The genetic base is narrow (Lal et al., 2023c) which need to be widened through selection or hybridization (Lal et al., 2023d). The form of the trees and leaves varies significantly amongst litchi cultivars. The names of cultivars have

caused a great deal of confusion because they differ in terms of agroclimatic conditions, growth habits, fruit colour, form, and size. Therefore, several names for the same cultivar may be used in different contexts. Due to their introduction as a crop, litchi has limited genetic diversity in India. There is always the possibility that new cultivars will emerge. Different traits are employed to distinguish between cultivars. There are variations in leaf length, shape, size, and colour as well. Litchi identification based on morphological characteristics is quite acceptable and simple to differentiate. Litchi genotypes are distinguished using morphological traits such leaves, fruits, and flowers (Khurshid et al., 2004). There are very few exotic cultivars that are propagated vegetatively that are available in India. The current project was initiated to investigate genetic variation in various tree and leaf morphological attributes across different litchi genotypes collected from multiple sites. ~~In order to investigate genetic variety in several tree and leaf morphological attributes of different genotypes of litchi collected from different sites, the current project was started.~~ It was anticipated to provide a theoretical foundation for early identification as well as information for generating cultivars and optimal genotype maintenance by establishing a straightforward and perceptive way of differentiating litchi cultivars based on morphological attributes.

Materials and Methods

Twenty litchi genotypes, ages ranging from 10 to 20 years, were selected for the current study due to their constant size and ~~vigor~~vigour. These plants were continuously treated with cultural approaches. The three trees for each genotype were considered while documenting the observations. A Randomized Block Design methodology was used in the investigation. Based on the litchi descriptor, IPGRI, Rome, the observations were made for two years in a row (2023 and 2024) in three districts of Uttar Pradesh: Khushinagar, Gorakgpur, and Deoria. Standard techniques were used to measure the canopy diameter, canopy volume, stem girth, and tree height. By visually observing the trees, the ~~color~~colour, arrangement, and curvature of the foliage were noted. Ten randomly selected leaves and flushes from each genotype were used to study the following characteristics: leaf length, width, number of leaflets per leaf, rachis length, and petiole length. Following a randomized block design and homogeneity testing, the mean trait values for both years were combined and put through an analysis of variance (ANOVA) to determine whether genotypes differed significantly from one another (Panse and Sukhatme 1967).

Results and Discussion

Tree characters

The genotypes that were selected showed significant variation in plant height; genotype KT-1 recorded the maximum height (5.35 m), while genotype GC-2 recorded the lowest height (4.50 m). The underlying genetic basis of plant growth remains unchanged, despite the fact that agronomic practices may somewhat affect growth rate. The evergreen litchi fruit tree can grow to a height of 15 meters when left unpruned and up to 30 meters under the correct conditions (Cronje 2010). Lal et al. (2023 e) reported that the genotypes under investigation had plant heights ranging from 2.5 to 4.6 m. Singh et al. (2012) state that a well-managed orchard can produce commercial fruit for as long as 50 years. Chavaradar (2016) reported that 3.00 m to 19.00 m accounted for 57.87 percent of the difference in tree height between genotypes. With a girth of 63.01 cm, the genotype KD-1 had the highest girth, while the genotype GC-2 had the smallest (49.99 cm). The biggest increase in the trunk girth of KD-1 is caused by the maximum increases in tree height, which are positively influenced by tree age. According to Chavaradar (2016), the girth of a tree trunk can vary greatly, ranging from 28.00 cm to ~~540~~ 54.00cm, with a coefficient of variation of 74.1%. The stem girth of litchi varied, ranging from 35.50 to 68.00 cm (Lal et al., 2023e). Among the genotypes under investigation, DP-2 had the smallest canopy diameter (5.29 m) and KS-1 the greatest (6.40 m). The KS-1 genotype seems to be expanding horizontally more quickly and strongly by nature. In both North-South and East-West directions, the plant spreads most in Kasba (9.20 m and 9.28 m) and least in Bedana (7.10 m and 7.18 m), according to Sahay (2001). According to Lal et al. (2023e), the crown diameter of litchi varied from 3.62 to 6.57 m. The plant volume in GC-2 ranged from 20.35 m3 to 26.79 m3 while in KT-1. The result demonstrated that, of the genotypes analyzed, KT-1 exhibited the highest tree volume and the fastest rate of development in both vertical and horizontal directions. The lowest tree volume was connected with the lowest height and canopy diameter. According to Lal et al. (2023e), there were 6.43 to 33.09 m^3 of trees in Litchi. The variance in tree volume was also noted by Chandola and Mishra (2015).

Formatted: Superscript

Leaf characters

The colour of the leaves varied greatly between genotypes. Four juvenile leaf~~colors~~colours were identified out of the twenty genotypes that were investigated. Nine

genotypes had vivid pink colour, which was a fairly typical form of leaf colour, whereas eleven genotypes had yellowish green colour. The colour of a newly emerging leaf is one of the genetic traits that identify each genotype and aid in type differentiation. The young leaf is also described as vivid pink and yellowish green by Lal et al. (2023c). Lal (2018) found a new range of leaf colours, from yellowish to deep pink. Chavaradar (2016) reported that young flushes exhibit a diversity of leaf colours, including pinkish green (78.12%), greenish yellow (12.5%), and light green (9.37%). Khurshid et al. (2004) also observed dark pink flush colours in Bedana cultivars, brownish red in Calcuttia, and reddish brown flush colours in Gola and Bombay. Pereira (2002) reported that the colour of the fresh flush varied from light copper to deep copper.

The morphological leaf descriptors that were ~~analyzed~~analysed also revealed a considerable difference in the colour of the mature leaves. Nine genotypes had dark green, whereas eleven genotypes had green in the evaluated genotypes, according to the results for mature leaf colour. Depending on the litchi genotypes' phenotypic and morphological evaluations, mature leaf colour varied morphologically. Mature leaf colour ranged from light green to dark green, according to Lal et al. (2023c). Mature leaves have three unique colours, according to Chavaradar (2016): pale green, dark green, and green. Mature leaves of the following cultivars were deep green: Bedana, Bombai, Early Large Red, Nafarpal, Piazi, Rose Scented, and Seedless Late (Pereira, 2002). In contrast, the foliage of the other cultivars was relatively light green.

The leaflet arrangement showed a considerable degree of genetic variation. The most opposing leaflet patterns were seen in eleven of the genotypes that were examined, whereas the other nine genotypes showed both opposed and alternate leaflet arrangements. Growth habit is a major factor in the characterisation of genotypes. Lal et al. (2023e) found leaflets arranged in litchi in an opposing and alternating pattern. Out of the twenty genotypes that were looked at, the leaflet curvature data revealed that two different forms of curvature were discovered. Nine genotypes displayed a downhill curve, which is a highly typical type of curvature, whereas eleven genotypes displayed an upward curve from the midrib. There are curved surfaces in both directions, according to Lal et al. (2023e). The morphological diversity of litchi was assessed based on trunk, branch and leaf traits (Lal et al., 2023f).Shahi had the greatest number of leaflets (7.24), while GC-1 had the fewest leaflets (6.16), according to an examination of the leaflets on each compound leaf. Several genotypes underwent our examination with an intermediate number of leaflets. Even

though they are essential for producing food material, an excessive number of leaflets with numerous shoots may shade nearby leaflets and reduce the potential output. Although yield potential was significantly influenced by the number of leaflets, yield is also connected with other attributes. The possible difference in leaflet count can be attributed to the innate properties of the germplasm. The leaflet counts in Litchi ranged from 5.05 to 7.29 (Lal et al., 2023e). According to Pereira (2002), the average number of leaflets per leaf in Bedana ranged from 5.9 to 7.5 in Early Muzaffarpur.

During the examination, rachis length was found to vary significantly; in KD-2, the greatest value was recorded at 11.10 cm, while in GP-2, the lowest value was reported at 9.75 cm. The length of the petiole varied, going from 2.54 cm to 4.83 cm. The rachis of litchi ranged in length from 6.45 to 15.40 cm (Lal et al., 2023e). Wu et al. (2016)~~Wu and colleagues (2016)~~ also reached a similar conclusion. The genotype with the smallest petiole also contributes to small leaf size. The length of the petiole varied from 3.76 to 4.04 cm. Petiole length measurements showed that the genotype KS-1 had the longest, while the genotype DT-1 had the shortest.~~Petiole length records showed that the genotype KS-1 had the longest and the genotype DT-1 had the shortest.~~ The petiole length of thirty genotypes of litchi varied, ranging from 2.54 to 4.83 cm (Lal et al., 2023e). Wu et al. (2016) also reached a similar finding. The genotype with the smallest petiole also contributes to small leaf size. Between genotypes, leaflet length varied significantly, ranging from 10.86 cm (KD-1) to 13.81 cm (China). According to Lal et al. (2023e), leaf length in litchi varied from 810 to 15.98 cm. Furthermore, Chavaradar (2016) observed a significant variation in leaf length, ranging from 12.0 to 16.8 cm. Kahn et al. (2001) and Dorji and Yapwattanphun (2011) both reported high levels of leaf size variability. The genotypes KD-1 and DP-2 exhibited the broadest and narrowest widths, at 4.36 cm and 3.70 cm, respectively.~~The genotypes KD-1 and DP-2 showed the broadest and narrowest widths, respectively, at 4.36 and 3.70 cm, respectively.~~According to Lal et al. (2023e), the leaf breadth of litchi varied from 2.99 to 5.05 cm. Size and shape of the leaves are important varietal traits that are also utilized to distinguish across cultivars (Lal, 2018). Khurshid et al. (2004) observed notable differences in leaf length and leaf width amongst different litchi cultivars. Madhou et al. (2010) also noted significant morphological differences in the number and size of leaflets between 34 litchi accessions in Mauritius. Variability in litchi has also been assessed by earlier workers (Lal et al., 2022b; Lal et al., 2023g).

Conclusion

Significant variation within the species is revealed by the study of morphological diversity in litchi based on tree and leaf features. The genetic diversity among various litchi cultivars is evident in the observed variations in traits such as tree height, canopy structure, leaf size, and leaf colour. ~~The genetic variety among various litchi cultivars is highlighted by the observed variance in variables including tree height, canopy structure, leaf size, and leaf colour.~~ This genetic diversity is crucial for breeding initiatives aimed at improving litchi cultivars for specific climatic conditions, pest resistance, and fruit quality. ~~For breeding initiatives to enhance litchi cultivars for certain climatic conditions, pest resistance, and fruit quality, this variety is essential.~~ Understanding and preserving this morphological diversity is crucial for cultivating litchi sustainably and for developing new varieties in the future that will ensure the species' adaptability to changing environmental conditions. ~~It is crucial to comprehend and protect this morphological variety in order to cultivate litchi in a sustainable manner and to develop new variations in the future that will maintain the species' adaptability to changing environmental circumstances.~~

Table 1. Diversity in tree characters in different genotypes of litchi

Genotypes	Plant height (m)	Stem girth (cm)	Canopy diameter (m)	Plant volume (m^3)
Shahi	4.85	56.01	5.89	25.46
KD-1	4.85	63.01	6.04	24.69
KD-2	5.20	59.11	6.24	23.89
KS-1	4.95	57.81	5.99	24.70
KS-2	5.25	56.85	6.40	25.58
KT-1	5.35	57.90	6.04	26.79
KT-2	5.30	56.94	5.91	25.41
China	5.00	51.75	5.58	22.74
GC-1	4.65	52.85	5.48	21.83
GC-2	4.50	49.99	5.58	20.35
GP-1	4.75	56.74	5.56	22.59
GP-2	4.65	50.74	5.75	23.59
GG-1	4.85	52.80	5.96	22.76
GG-2	4.80	53.73	5.78	21.65
DB-1	5.00	56.84	5.89	24.75
DB-2	5.20	58.23	6.34	23.7
DP-1	5.30	59.05	6.00	25.30
DP-2	5.05	52.78	5.29	20.74

DT-1	4.95	50.69	5.41	23.56
DT-2	5.10	51.96	5.55	23.79
SEm ±	0.063	0.710	0.103	0.315
CD at 5%	0.181	2.041	0.297	0.905

Table 2.Diversity in qualitative leaf characters in different genotypes of litchi

Genotypes	Colour of new leaf	Colour of matured leaf	Arrangement of leaflet	Leaflet curvature
Shahi	Yellowish green	Green	Opposite	Curved upward from the midrib
KD-1	Yellowish green	Green	Opposite	Curved upward from the midrib
KD-2	Yellowish green	Green	Opposite	Curved upward from the midrib
KS-1	Yellowish green	Green	Opposite	Curved upward from the midrib
KS-2	Yellowish green	Green	Opposite	Curved upward from the midrib
KT-1	Yellowish green	Green	Opposite	Curved upward from the midrib
KT-2	Yellowish green	Green	Opposite	Curved upward from the midrib
China	Bright pink	Dark green	Alternate and Opposite	Curved downward along the margin
GC-1	Bright pink	Dark green	Alternate and Opposite	Curved downward along the margin
GC-2	Bright pink	Dark green	Alternate and Opposite	Curved downward along the margin
GP-1	Bright pink	Dark green	Alternate and Opposite	Curved downward along the margin
GP-2	Bright pink	Dark green	Alternate and Opposite	Curved downward along the margin
GG-1	Bright pink	Dark green	Alternate and Opposite	Curved downward along the margin
GG-2	Bright pink	Dark green	Alternate and Opposite	Curved downward along the margin
DB-1	Yellowish green	Green	Opposite	Curved upward from the midrib
DB-2	Yellowish green	Green	Opposite	Curved upward from the midrib
DP-1	Yellowish green	Green	Opposite	Curved upward from the midrib
DP-2	Yellowish green	Green	Opposite	Curved upward from the midrib
DT-1	Bright pink	Dark green	Alternate and Opposite	Curved downward along the margin
DT-2	Bright pink	Dark green	Alternate and Opposite	Curved downward along the margin

Table 3. Diversity in leaf characters in different genotypes of litchi

Genotypes	Number of ~~leaflet~~leaflets	Rachis length (cm)	Petiole length (cm)	Length of leaflet blade (cm)	Widthof leaflet blade (cm
Shahi	7.24	10.14	3.94	10.86	4.13
KD-1	6.85	10.71	3.86	13.25	4.36
KD-2	6.76	11.10	3.85	12.38	4.13
KS-1	7.11	10.46	4.04	12.49	4.21
KS-2	6.86	10.65	3.99	12.66	4.13
KT-1	6.87	10.76	3.94	11.90	4.20
KT-2	6.89	10.34	3.97	12.45	4.12
China	7.23	9.89	3.92	13.81	3.99
GC-1	6.16	10.13	3.88	13.66	4.03
GC-2	6.84	10.29	3.86	12.89	3.96
GP-1	6.75	9.86	3.88	12.72	3.95
GP-2	6.89	9.75	3.81	12.88	4.04
GG-1	6.91	9.~~88~~589	3.85	13.25	4.02
GG-2	6.91	10.13	3.83	12.99	4.01
DB-1	6.59	10.58	3.90	10.92	4.04
DB-2	6.91	10.46	3.91	11.74	4.15
DP-1	6.63	10.3	3.93	12.77	4.14
DP-2	6.62	10.12	3.85	10.89	3.70
DT-1	6.31	9.87	3.76	11.76	3.97
DT-2	6.51	9.87	3.79	12.43	3.93
SEm ±	0.106	0.157	0.050	0.191	0.056
CD at 5%	0.304	0.451	NS	0.550	0.161

References

Chandola JC and Mishra DS. 2015. Morphological and biochemical characterization of litchi cultivars. Hort Flora Research Spectrum 4 (4): 361-365.

Chavaradar SD. 2016. Morpho-physiological characterization of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) in wayanad. M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, Department ofpomology and floriculture, College of horticulture Vellanikkara,Thrissur-680 656 Kerala, India. 122 p.

Cronje RB, Sivakumar D, Mostert PG and Korsten L. 2009. Effect of different preharvest treatment regimes on fruit quality of Litchi cultivar „Maritius". Journal of Plant Nutrition 32:19-29.

Dorji K and Yapwattanaphun C. 2011. Assessment of morphological diversity for local mandarin (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco.) accessions in Bhutan. Journal of Agricultural Technology 7(2): 485-495.

Kahn TL, Krueger RR, Gumpf DJ, Roose ML, Arpaia ML, Batkin TA, Bash JA, Bier OJ, Clegg MT, Cockerham ST, Coggins CW Jr, Durling D, Elliot G, Mauk PA, McGuire PE, Orman C, Qualset CO, Roberts PA, Soost RK, Turco J, Van Gundy SG and Zuckerman B. 2001. Citrus genetic resources in California: Analysis and Recommendations for Long-Term Conservation. Report No 22. University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Genetic Resources Conservation Program, Davis CA, USA.

Khurshid S, Ahmad I and Anjum MA. 2004. Genetic diversity in different morphological characteristics of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.). InternationalJournal of Agriculture and Biology6: 1062-1065.

Lal N and Sahu N. 2022. Screening of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) genotypes against sun burn. Bangladesh Journal of Botany. 51(1): 37-43.

Lal N, Singh A, Kumar A, Marboh ES, Gupta AK, Pandey SD and Nath V. 2022b. Genetic Variability, Correlation and Path-Coefficient Studies in Litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) for Plant growth, Panicle and Yield Attributes. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management, 13 (1): 29-36.

Lal N, Gupta AK and Nath V. 2017b. Fruit retention in different litchi germplasm Influenced by temperature. International Journal of Current Microbiology & Applied Science, 6(12): 1189-1194.

Lal N,Gupta AK, Kushwah NS and Nath V. 2017a. Sapindaceous Fruits: In Horticultural Crops of High Nutritive Values, pp 339-370, edited by KV Peter. Brillion Publishing, New Delhi.

Lal N, Kumar A and Pandey SD. 2023f. Effects of tree trunk, branch and leaf traits onMorphological diversity of *Litchi chinensis* Sonn. Bangladesh J. Bot. 52(1): 197-202.

Comment [NS1]: In text 2010 (check year)

Lal N, Kumar A, Marboh ES, Pandey SD and Nath V. 2023c. Genetic diversity in litchi (*Litchi chinensis*) for morphological and physico-chemical traits. *Current Horticulture* 11(1): 33–36.

Lal N, Kumar A, Pandey SD and Nath V. 2023b. Screening of litchi genotypes for fruit cracking and the relationship of cracking to fruit and leaf traits. Erwerbs-Obstbau. 65: 479–485.

Lal N, Nath V. 2020a. Effect of plant age and stress on flowering in litchi (*Litchi chinensis*). Current Horticulture. 8(1):24−27.

Lal N, Pandey SK and Nath V. 2023e. Genetic diversity and grouping of litchi genotypes based on83 qualitative and quantitative traits. Erwerbs-Obstbau 65:1003–1012.

Lal N, Pandey SK, Nath V, Agrawal V, Gontia AS, Sharma HL. 2018b. Total phenol and flavonoids in by-product of Indian litchi: Difference among genotypes. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 7(3):2891–2894.

Lal N, Pandey SK, Nath V, Gontia AS, Sharma HL. 2018a. Evaluation of litchi (*Litchi chinenesis*Sonn.) genotypes for fruit quality attributes. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 6(3):2556-2560.

Lal N, Sahu, N, Kumar A and Pandey, SD. 2022a. Effect of rainfall and temperature on sun burn and fruit cracking in litchi.Journal of Agrometeorology, 24(2):169-171

Lal N, Singh A and Pandey SD. 2023a. Sunburn and fruit cracking in Litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) cv. 'Rose Scented.Emergent Life Sciences Research.9(2): 260-264.

Lal N, Singh A, Gupta A K, Marboh E S, Kumar A and Nath V. 2019. Precocious flowering and dwarf NRCL-29-A new genetic stock of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.). Chemical Science Reviews & Letters.**8** (32): 206-210

Lal N, Singh A, Kumar A and Pandey SD. 2023g. Assessment of variability, correlation and path analysis for the selection of elite clones in litchi based on certain traits.Erwerbs-Obstbau. 65:501-507.

Lal N, Singh A, Kumar A, Marboh ES, Gupta AK, Pongener A, Nath V and Pandey SD. 2023d. Hurdles in developing hybrids: Experience from a decade of hybridization in litchi. Euphytica. 219: 216.

Lal. 2018. Genetic studies of litchi germplasm, PhD. Thesis, JNKVV, Jabalpur, MP.

Madhou M, Bahorun T and Hormaza JI. 2010. Phenotypic and molecular diversity of litchi cultivars in Mauritius. Fruits65 (3): 141-152.

Marboh ES, Gupta AK, Singh M, Lal N and Nath V.2019. Litchi: Origin and Biological Diversity of Horticultural Crops. ISBN: 978-93-89350-00-5. Pp107-137,edited by KV Peter. Brillion Publishing, New Delhi.

Panse VG and Sukhatme PV. 1967. "Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers," 2nd Edition, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, 1967.

Pereira LS. 2002. Growth, flowering and fruiting behaviour of litchi cultivars in West Bengal. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Fruits and Orchard Management, Bidhan Chandra Krishi ViswavidyalayaMohanpur, Nadia, west bengal. 186 p.

Sahay 2001. Bearing behaviour and quality characters of some litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) cultivars. Ph.D thesis, Rajendra Agricultural University Bihar. 144 p.

Singh G, Nath V, Pandey SD, Ray PK and Singh HS. 2012. Cultivars and genetic enhancement. *In*: The litchi. Papademetriou, M. K. and Dent, F.J. (Ed.), Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, New Delhi, India, pp. 18-24.

Wu J, Zhanga C, Chena J, Caia C, Wang L, Fua D and Oua L. 2016. Morphological diversity within litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) based on leaf and branch traits. Scientia Horticulturae. 207:21- 27.