

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_124019
Title of the Manuscript:	Morphological diversity in litchi based on tree and leaf characteristics
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This manuscript is of significant importance to the scientific community as it offers a comprehensive analysis of morphological diversity in litchi, focusing on key tree and leaf characteristics. • By investigating genetic variation across different genotypes, the study provides valuable insights into the adaptation and differentiation of litchi cultivars. • This foundational work is crucial for breeding programs aiming to improve cultivars for specific climatic conditions and for maintaining optimal genotype characteristics. • The clarity of the methodology and the practical implications for cultivar development make this manuscript a valuable resource for researchers. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The title " Morphological diversity in litchi based on tree and leaf characteristics" is quite suitable as it clearly conveys the focus of the study. 	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is quite comprehensive and covers various aspects of the study. However, to enhance clarity and conciseness, here are a few suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Briefly mention the purpose of evaluating the genotypes and its significance at the beginning of the abstract to provide context. • Highlight the main trends and differences observed. • Include a sentence about the implications of these findings for future research or practical applications. 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The detailed analysis of tree and leaf characteristics, supported by specific measurements and comparisons, provides a comprehensive understanding of genotype variation. • The use of a Randomized Block Design methodology ensures that the results are statistically valid and minimizes potential biases. • Additionally, the careful documentation of methods and findings enhances the reproducibility of the study, affirming its reliability and contribution to the field of plant genetics and breeding. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>References are sufficient and recent.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Need to be change addition and deletion which is suggested in comment box in original manuscript.• Check the name and year in text as well as references which is suggested in comment box. (Quoted a reference- Cronje, 2010 in the text but the name of author is Cronje et al. and year of publication 2009 given in the list of references. Wu and colleagues (2016) in text but Wu et al. (2016) in references.)• Need to be correct all references as per guideline of the journal.	
Optional/General comments	A thorough proofreading is recommended to correct any grammatical errors and improve clarity.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paramveer Singh
Department, University & Country	Bihar Agricultural University, India