

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_123530
Title of the Manuscript:	An exploration of the relationship between Environmental Ethical Considerations and Green Growth prospects in India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>It was found that the manuscript added a significant contribution in the field of environmental ethical consideration while integrated with green growth strategies, with a focus on developing countries mainly, such as India. The study identified various challenges through literature review which gave a deep understanding of guiding policies and strategic investments. The study emphasized on sustainable development attainment with a focus on global priorities, so to make it applicable addition to the field. Moreover, this paper has its worth in its theoretical and practical contributions with regard to environmental ethics concerning green growth specifically, that can affect future research studies and its implications similarly.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the study "An exploration of the relationship between Environmental Ethical Considerations and Green Growth prospects in India," suits the study well, as it reflects study's scope and content correctly. Whereas, if more clarity is required than it can be modify as, "Exploring the Role of Environmental Ethics Advancing Green Growth: Perspectives from India."</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the study provides a detailed overview comprised of key objectives, research methods, and findings of the study. However, it could provide a theoretical framework, defining the theory, followed by the researcher to present the framework. Moreover, future implications for policy makers should also be mentioned to guide the readers to give a brief view and contributions of the study in a glimpse.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>This study is well structured along with the subsections that guided the reader with introduction, literature review, the challenges regarding the problem along with proposed solutions. The sections of the study are properly divided and gave logical description. Hence, there should be more discussion required in detail with regard to methodology to synthesize literature review as it would strengthen the study.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The present study is proved technically strong and comprehensive scientifically. It has synthesized the literature while identifying gaps by suggesting practical solutions ae well. The study's arguments are supported by existing studies' references as the researcher has provided strong understanding for environmental ethics in context of green growth. Whereas, the study is employed with concrete steps to integrate ethical consideration with green growth strategies which are valuable practically.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :</p>	<p>There are sufficient references added in the study, a mix recent and basic research studies. It is suggested that the author should add more recent studies (2022-2024) that could give an overview of current phenomenon clearly. Moreover, if the journal is following APA format, then the references must be formatted in the relevant format (Incite and bibliographic both). Furthermore, by adding studies addressing Indian context can strengthen the argumentation.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, using English language in articles are suitable for scholarly communication. As it is a commonly used language and almost every person can read and understand it. even from different backgrounds, so with a thorough proofreading the minor errors related to grammar can improve the clarity.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>After a through review of the manuscript it was observed that the study has a valuable contribution in the field of environmental ethics including green growth. If the researcher can strengthen the section of methodology by highlighting recent studies, he/she can improve the impact of manuscript.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saba Khalil
Department, University & Country	National University of Modern Languages, Pakistan