

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_123504
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth Performance and Economic Efficiency of Immature Lohmann Brown Layers fed Graded Levels of Undeshelled Defatted Moringa oleifera Seed Cake (UDMOSC)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents UDMOSC as an alternative source of poultry feed. It allows reducing the cost of production and improving profitability. It found an optimum incorporation of 10% in pullets diet and did not recommend for chicks.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract needs improvement. The authors should specify the five feeds, the data collected and the statistical analysis. They should also condense the results.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The challenge of feeding poultry in this context of high cost and scarcity of raw materials is well known. Researchers are focusing on alternative sources. This work has made it possible to have another source that can be incorporated at 10% in the feed of pullets without penalizing the cost of production.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The majority of the references cited are recent. However, there is a lack of references in the discussion section	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	To be more useful, authors should improve the content by providing details on the enzyme, laboratory analyses, data collected, and statistical analysis of the results. They should also support discussion with authors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Brah Nouri
Department, University & Country	Niger National Institute for Agriculture Research, Niger