

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_123488
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Bulb Yield and Economic Return of Onion ( <i>Allium cepa</i> L.) at Selekleka, Northwestern Zone of Tigray, North Ethiopia
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is of importance to the scientific community because it will add to literature on integrated nutrient management for crops as well as enlighten on the right nutrient combinations that will give the highest onion yield particularly in the study area.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, just a little adjustment is required "Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Onion ( <i>Allium cepa</i> L.) Bulb Yield and Economic Return in Selekleka, Northwestern Zone of Tigray, North Ethiopia.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is okay.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Because it is an experimental study, and it is what is discovered from the experiment that will be reported. Though the introduction can be freshened up and a section should include a literature review of past studies.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most of the references are old and should be updated.	
Minor REVISION comments	OK	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Adebisi, Oluwaremilekun Ayobami
Department, University & Country	Covenant University, Nigeria