

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_125326
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbial Ecology of Denitrification Process and Its Application in Wastewater Treatment: Challenges and Opportunities
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Good topic. Detailed review on this topic is required. I recommend for publication. However, changes are to be made.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is fine	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. Reference in table and text are in different format.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The review is in good flow. Different sections are covered. The topic is important.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Table 1 can have more references for each entry. Table 2 has no reference, but references are expected. In text references are missing but is there in bibliography.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. However, different font occurs in manuscript. Microorganism are not italicised but are enclosed in **.	
Optional/General comments	I request authors to sit and spend couple of hours addressing the issues highlighted.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jemi Gandhi
Department, University & Country	BITS Pilani, K. K. Birla Goa Campus, India