

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_125782
Title of the Manuscript:	ORGANIC GRAIN PROTECTANTS FOR ENHANCING STORABILITY OF RICE SEEDS
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Methods section is currently addressed. The results are clearly presented and well written. The discussion is well written and adequately addressed	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title should be more informative. It is vital and quite well.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is adequately addressed	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well written. The purpose, methods and results are clearly presented.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.-	References should be in proper author guidelines provided by Journal Add some recent references	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English has been used to write scientific studies about tense choice, clarity, preciseness, style, sentence assortment, diction, and other aspects of language utilised in scientific writing.	
Optional/General comments	Percentages value should be in converted to arc-sign value Journal names mention in references should be full name format	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Govind Malikarjun Hamane
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture Udgir, India