

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_SAJRM_125640 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | PREVALENCE OF EXTENDED-SPECTRUM-BETA-LACTAMASE-PRODUCING BACTERIAL IN HANDBAGS OF WOMEN IN ABEOKUTA, NIGERIA. |
| Type of the Article | |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The research is important in terms of choosing the topic, as women's handbags are a potential source for transmitting and spreading microbes in the society especially with lack of health and personal hygiene is present. It is important to know the type of microbes that may be present in and on women's handbags, as well as the nature of their resistance to antimicrobials. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | PREVALENCE OF EXTENDED-SPECTRUM-BETA-LACTAMASE-PRODUCING BACTERIAL SPECIES ISOLATED FROM HANDBAGS OF WOMEN IN ABEOKUTA, NIGERIA. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is comprehensive but needs linguistic and spelling review in some sentences that have been indicated in the body of the research. Regarding the production rate of ESBLs, please verify and unify the result so that it is consistent with what is mentioned in the abstract, results, discussion and figure 7, specifically <i>E. coli</i> production rate. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes, but the results section should contain the results only with no discussion or explanation. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript is scientifically sound and correct, as the research methods used are based on reliable scientific sources in the field of bacteriology and sensitivity to antimicrobials. However, it needs some simple corrections, especially in terms of language and spelling, which have been identified and fixed in the text in the form of comments. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Yes, the references are sufficient, although some of them are old. The method of writing references must be uniformed and according to journal style. We noticed a difference in the method of writing of some references. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | Yes, generally the language quality of the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications. There are some words need to be revised and corrected (typos errors). | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Name: | Mahmood Zeki Al-Hasso |
| Department, University & Country | University of Mosul, Iraq |