

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_124236
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the quality of borehole water collected around unlined solid waste dumpsite within Awka metropolis
Type of the Article	Analytical research

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is necessary to analyse the groundwater quality as it's a vital source of water for drinking, irrigation, and industry, and for the health of ecosystems	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Change is expected as below  Assessment of the groundwater quality around unlined solid waste dumpsite within Awka metropolis	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Found ok.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Good	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is laboratory study so can be published with necessary permissions from the statutory bodies in the study area.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	OK Some references need page numbers.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language can be improved with the help of a English teaching professor.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Suggestion -The data can be published only after taking necessary permission of government bodies in the study area.	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>M. B. Chougule</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Textile and Engineering Institute, Ichalkaranji, India</b>