

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_124236
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the quality of borehole water collected around unlined solid waste dumpsite within Awka metropolis
Type of the Article	Case study

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is limited to one particular area, hence may be considered as case study. Sampling strategy need to be explained clearly.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The study seems to be a case study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	WQI of the samples may be included in the abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Seems fine, Numbering may need to be included	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Focuses on the real problem Such monitoring may help to improve the ground water quality	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Recent references missing	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor revision required before acceptance	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	D. Bhagawan
Department, University & Country	Central University of Rajasthan, India