

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125183
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Ginger Value Addition: Prospects For Improving Farmer Producer Organization (FPO) Members' Income
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	For the scientific community, especially in agribusiness and in enhancing farmers livelihood this manuscript is of utmost important. I liked it because author clearly pointed out sustainable ways to add value by the small holders (ginger growers).	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title can be location specific to smallholder farmers. Review on Ginger Value Addition: Enhancing Farmer Producer Organization (FPO)Members' Income of Utarakhand Smallholder Farmers. The reduction of value chain and directly paying to the farmers has the opportunity to the consumers to get the ginger products at better prices. Refer the reviewed document. Suitable but location specific be better as suggested: Review on Ginger Value Addition: Enhancing Farmer Producer Organization (FPO)Members' Income of Utarakhand Smallholder Farmers	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Added some points in the abstract. Refer it	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Structure of the manuscript: The manuscript is with bulleted points. Check with the Journal requirement.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically correct manuscript. It is original work. It is robust. It can helps the farmers' group. Ginger products can be value added. Opportunity for policy intervention from government. Technically, need to refer the format of the Journal	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Sufficient, relevant and latest references used. Referencing format of the Journal is different [number]. Author used APA format.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Scientific language used	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Purna P. Chapagai
Department, University & Country	Royal University of Bhutan, College of Natural Resources, Bhutan