

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125097
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterisation of tomato ( <i>Solanum lycopersicon</i> Mill.) genotypes for morphological and disease resistance traits through cluster analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article speak about something important that is the relation between the tomato plant morphological characteristics and the common genotypes that always used in agriculture plantations easy by without chemical analysis. I think this subject is important and give look about the tomato specific differentiation by distinct morphology characters make growers have a good idea to differentiate between some resistant to the virus and some is not.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title is clear and express the subject of the article	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes the abstract is suitable and convenient	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript written well and structures well done	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The phrase including "antioxidants content is negatively linked and small-fruited tomato genotypes possess a higher' refer to the biochemical investigation results attained from laboratory analysis of biochemical tests belonging to this type of biochemistry tests, but it isn't done in this work ,, and why write about part of subjects didn't tested yet. Any appreciation in article must define at the first mention, but the term ToLCV didn't define , because the reader that didn't know about it must understand	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the article come with sufficient references , and doesn't need more	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The English language is written aware and perfect	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanan Salah Eldin Taha
Department, University & Country	Central Agricultural Pesticide Laboratory- Agricultural Research Center, Egypt