

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_125616
Title of the Manuscript:	NEUROPROTECTIVE EFFECTS OF HEINSIA CRINITA AND COCONUT WATER IN A SCOPOLAMINE-INDUCED ALZHEIMER'S MODEL IN ALBINO RATS: BIOCHEMICAL CHANGES, CELLULAR HEALTH, AND NEURONAL ACTIVITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provide general idea about the potential of herbal medicine. Author included the phytochemical screening of plant leaf and TCW before use that is very important for scientific community. One can understand why the used part is useful for particular neurological condition.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	NEUROPROTECTIVE AND NEUROMODULATORY EFFECTS OF HEINSIA CRINITA AND COCONUT WATER IN A SCOPOLAMINE INDUCED OXIDETIVE STRESS IN ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE MODEL IN ALBINO RATS.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes Add the scientific classification of Hensia Crinita and Coconut.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	All Panel (photomicrograph) should be written with the microscopy magnification with sufficient description. The manuscript included both biochemical and histopathology parameter which are correlated with each other. Author used the statistical application which support the result authenticity.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Grammatical error should be check. Some spelling corrected by me also.	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jagdish Prasad
Department, University & Country	Government P. G. College, Deoli, India