

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_125670
Title of the Manuscript:	Applicability of HEC-RAS and Geospatial Tools for Inland Waterways Transportation Corridor Extension Study. Case Study of Ganga Basin, Bihar, India.
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please corrects the manuscript and highlights that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript title is interesting but the input and output of the result is not up to the mark. The title is about the case study of ganga basin, Bihar, India. As the author cannot use ganga basin in the manuscript because he is only emphasising in Bihar state not as a whole of ganga basin.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable, but the term should be reframed on the work like Ganga basin or HEC-RAS and geospatial tools	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The author used HEC-RAS, HEC-HMS and geospatial tools I didn't find any work related on these in the manuscript. Rest the abstract is ok.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Up to the mark, but can arrange more appropriately	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Going through the manuscript, the author mentioned HEC-RAS and geospatial tools. These tool are mostly sophiscated and using these tools many modelling and action plan can be executed but the author lack in this part. The manuscript and the title is scientific but the execution is lacking behind.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The reference are not up to mark and recent. The reference are not properly arranged according any to reference format.	
Minor REVISION comments	Need to be rectifying in the languages and grammar.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	NIL	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gulap Sonowal
Department, University & Country	Darrang College, India