

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Cancer and Tumor International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_125262
Title of the Manuscript:	CONGENITAL MESOBLASTIC NEPHROMA : A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case report

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I have some criticism on the manuscript. First, the information is not new, because this tumor accounts for 55% of renal tumors in the first 3 months of life. Nearly 90% in the first year. Second, the translocation the authors mention, is common and shared with infantile fibrosarcoma, a fact that is not mentioned in the study. The authors mention the importance of a histopathological diagnosis, however, they do not show and images of this. I would like to suggest the authors to add histological data, because the histology can be divided into classical, cellular, and mixed types. The following papers should be considered Van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM et al, <i>Pediatr.Blood Cancer</i>,2008;50:1130-4 -Knezevich Sr et al .<i>ETV6-NTRK3 gene fusions and trisomy 11 establish a histogenetic link between MN and CFibrosarcoma. Cancer Res.</i>1998,58:5046-48</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is not robust scientifically and technically. The translocation mentioned is common and shared with infantile fibrosarcoma, a fact that is not described in the study. The importance of a histopathological diagnosis is stated, however, the authors do not show and images of this. The article does not contribute new information to the literature.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Some important references are lacking (see above). In general, there are few references. A case report with an extensive literature review would be more interesting to broaden the knowledge and discussion about the entity.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>For publication, the manuscript requires major revision.</p> <p>The manuscript is not robust scientifically and technically. The translocation mentioned is common and shared with infantile fibrosarcoma, a fact that is not described in the study. The importance of a histopathological diagnosis is stated, however, the authors do not show and images of this.</p> <p>For publication, the manuscript requires major revision.</p> <p>A case report with an extensive literature review would be more interesting to broaden the knowledge and discussion about the entity.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Maria Teresa Garcia De Davila</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hospital De Pediatria Juan P. Garrahan, Argentina</b>