

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_125950
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTION ON ADVERSE DRUG REACTIONS (ADRs) OF COMMONLY USED MEDICATION AMONG NON-ALLIED HEALTH FOREIGN STUDENTS IN UNIVERSITY OF PERPETUAL HELP SYSTEM DALTA, LAS PINAS CAMPUS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study highlights a significant gap in awareness of adverse drug reactions among non-allied health foreign students at UPHSD Las Piñas, with most students unable to recognize ADRs or distinguish them from side effects. The findings emphasize the need for targeted education on medication safety and pharmacovigilance to address this knowledge deficit. Additionally, further research could explore interventions to improve ADR awareness and reporting practices within this population	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The use of a quantitative research design, with clear data collection and analysis procedures, adds to the reliability of the findings. Moreover, the study's focus on a specific population and the use of validated questionnaires ensure that the research outcomes are both relevant and methodologically solid. Overall, the manuscript adheres to scientific principles, providing a credible contribution to understanding ADR awareness.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	No comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Virendra Kushwaha
Department, University & Country	GSVM Medical College, India