

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_125138
Title of the Manuscript:	Case Report : Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy in an AIDS patient.
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript on Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy (PML) in an AIDS patient is important to the scientific community as it sheds light on a rare but devastating condition that remains a critical concern in immunocompromised individuals, particularly those with advanced HIV. By presenting a detailed case report, it emphasizes the importance of early recognition and diagnosis of PML, highlighting the value of prompt intervention and combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) in improving patient outcomes. I appreciate how this manuscript effectively bridges clinical findings with the latest treatment strategies, contributing to the ongoing discourse on managing severe opportunistic infections in vulnerable populations.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, the title of the case report is suitable, if you want new then choose one.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy in an HIV-Positive Patient: A Case Report and Clinical Review 2. Case Report: Diagnosing and Managing PML in a Severely Immunocompromised AIDS Patient 3. Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy in AIDS: A Case Study Highlighting Diagnostic Challenges and Treatment Strategies 4. HIV-Associated PML: A Case Report Emphasizing the Importance of Early Diagnosis and cART Management 	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the Case Report provides a clear and concise overview of the case, including key points such as the background, aim, case summary, and conclusion. However, it could benefit from a few improvements for clarity and comprehensiveness.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, as they clearly guide the reader through the background, case details, and discussion. The well-organized case report details clinical presentation, diagnosis, and treatment. However, minor improvements, such as more descriptive subsection titles and clearer division within the hospital course section, could enhance readability and clarity. Overall, the structure effectively supports the case narrative and its significance.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript appears scientifically robust and technically sound, as it follows established clinical protocols for diagnosing and managing Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy (PML) in an AIDS patient. It employs widely accepted diagnostic tools, including MRI imaging and JC virus DNA detection via PCR, which are standard in confirming PML. The treatment approach, using combination antiretroviral therapy (cART), aligns with current medical guidelines, and the discussion accurately references relevant literature, demonstrating a strong understanding of the disease's pathophysiology. Overall, the manuscript effectively balances clinical observations with evidence-based practices.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The manuscript includes a solid range of references, most of which are relevant and sufficient to support the content. However, some references are a bit dated, with the most recent cited work being used.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of the manuscript is mostly suitable for scholarly communication, as it uses precise medical terminology and follows a clear, formal structure. However, there are areas where minor improvements could enhance readability and flow. Some sentences are slightly repetitive or could be rephrased for clarity. Additionally, attention to grammar and punctuation, particularly in longer sentences, would improve the overall coherence and professional tone. Overall, the manuscript's language is appropriate but could benefit from slight refinement for a smoother scholarly presentation.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript provides a valuable contribution to understanding the diagnosis and management of Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy (PML) in immunocompromised HIV patients. It is well-structured and informative, offering both clinical insights and practical recommendations. However, slight improvements in language quality and the inclusion of more recent references would enhance its clarity and relevance. Additionally, expanding on the case's broader implications for future research could further solidify its impact within the scientific community. Overall, it's a well-executed case report with potential for high scholarly value.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tasawar Iqbal
Department, University & Country	University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan