

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International STD Research &amp; Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_125138
Title of the Manuscript:	Case Report : Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy in an AIDS patient.
Type of the Article	Case Report

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This case report sheds light on Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy (PML) in an AIDS patient, highlighting the critical need for prompt diagnosis and management of this life-threatening condition. The report is valuable as it demonstrates a successful recovery outcome in a condition with generally poor prognosis, particularly among immunocompromised patients. It underscores the impact of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) in managing PML, providing valuable insights for the scientific community regarding the efficacy of cART in complex AIDS-related complications	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title "Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy in an AIDS Patient" is suitable, though it may benefit from a minor addition to emphasize the aspect of clinical recovery, such as "A Case of Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy in an AIDS Patient with Successful Recovery."	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is concise and effectively summarizes the case, though I recommend adding a line to emphasize the unique aspects of the patient's clinical progression and recovery. For example, it could mention how the case illustrates potential for recovery under a carefully optimized cART regimen.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The structure of the manuscript is well-organized, covering necessary sections such as the background, case presentation, hospital course, and discussion. The details are sufficient for a case report, with appropriate use of headings and bullet points.	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The references are comprehensive and relevant, supporting the case discussion with recent and significant studies on PML and its management in immunocompromised patients. No additional references are necessary, but ensuring all references are formatted consistently according to journal guidelines is advised</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.:-</p>	<p>The language is suitable for scholarly communication, though minor grammatical adjustments could enhance clarity. Minor typos and punctuation errors, especially in complex sections, should be reviewed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust, detailing the pathogenesis, clinical presentation, and diagnostic process for PML, a rare condition in AIDS patients. The scientific soundness is strengthened by the inclusion of MRI findings and PCR test results, which support the diagnosis. Additionally, it highlights the critical role of cART and close monitoring, providing clinically relevant insights that can inform similar cases.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suvendu Kumar Panda
Department, University & Country	Berhampur University, Mkg Medical College And Hospital Berhampur, India