

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125980
Title of the Manuscript:	First global host report and new distribution record of <i>Bactrocera penecorrecta</i> Drew (Diptera: Tephritidae) from Bihar, India
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study revealed that the fruit fly <i>Bactrocera penecorrecta</i> has been reported to infest the brinjal <i>Solanum melongena</i> for the first time. Knowledge of the host plants of different pests helps in their effective management using cultural practices. So, this manuscript is important for the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. I have marked some changes that need to be incorporated.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. Need to write conclusions.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The methodology and results are ok. The sections are arranged properly. So, the manuscript is scientifically robust.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need to add some more content in the introduction section based on some recent literature.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Poor.	
Optional/General comments	Check all comments carefully and make accordingly. Read some more literature on taxonomic identification of fruit flies that will help you to improve the manuscript. The result is good but the language is very weak.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manoj Kumar Jena
Department, University & Country	Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Poland