

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125484
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Genetic Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance for Yield and Yield Attributes in Linseed ( <i>Linum usitatissimum L.</i> )
Type of the Article	Original article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Genotype study is important for increasing yield significantly such type of papers should be encouraged Heritability and genetic variation study is having much scope in breeding How the crop yields are important but the production is low and a study to enhance the productivity	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Mentioning the back ground of our research and study behind our research shows the readers how effective your will paper will be	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is technically correct and the author should adjust the grammar while writing the paper	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need to add recent or last 10 years references for productive paper	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quality is good but the author concentrate on minor grammar mistakes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Bhumi Reddy Divya Vani
Department, University & Country	Rythu Sadhikara Samastha, India