

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_125581
Title of the Manuscript:	Invisible Allies: The Role of Endophytic Entomopathogenic Microbes in Insect Pest Control
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research paper is important to the scientific community because it can be used as a natural means of controlling insects that cause economic losses.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The summary was long. It can be shortened and the goal can be added clearly.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific manuscript has an important scientific aspect in the fields of agriculture and global economics and is an important reference for researchers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	good	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	good	
Optional/General comments	Notes The absence of a clear objective from the conclusion and introduction The beneficiaries of the research manuscript The conclusions and conclusions reached by the researcher were good The scientific sources are many Writing the scientific names of bacteria is incorrect The attached tables are good and have been referred to correctly Finally, the topic of biocides has been discussed in many research papers, so we hope that the researcher will focus on what is new in this field	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussein L. Alboory
Department, University & Country	Iraq