

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_125518
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management in Growth parameters of citronella (Cymbopogon winterianus Jowitt.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In this study, the author uses the integrated management technique to maintain higher productivity with greater stability in crop production. In this study, they used chemical fertilizers with organic manure for enhancing the growth characteristics of Citronella. This study will provide better insight to the researcher and farmer about Integrated Nutrient Management (INM).	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is the appropriate title for this manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the result portion of abstract statistical data is missing. Use the label of significance for better validation of the result	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is lack of some scientific procedure. 1. They used the control but not mention in the manuscript. The various manuscript have mentioned the control like S.P. Nandapure, et al.pdf (ijcmas.com) and other available on net. 2. Statistical manuscript is also weak. Authors have large no. of data which is verified using statistical tools like chi- square, one way annova and regression analysis. 3. Graphical representation gives the full insight about the research. Authors have the huge amount of data to draw the various graph.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	No, Review of literature part of this manuscript is also very weak. There are various study available on Net Ex. S.P. Nandapure, et al.pdf (ijcmas.com) , EFFECT OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON GROWTH, YIELD AND QUALITY OF PIGEONPEA (ALITY OF PIGEONPEA (CAJANAS CAJAN L. MILLSP.) CV. PUSA 9. . PUSA 9. . PUSA 9. The Bioscan, Effect of nutrient management in citronella, lemongrass and Palmarosa intercropping with wheat (thepharmajournal.com)	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Slightly improve the English language quality	
Optional/General comments	1. Mention the agriculture seasons in brackets with dates (material and method section). 2. In the material and method section, the name of the material is not properly mentioned (like RDF and other chemicals and their source). 3. The abbreviation used in the manuscript is properly described once in the manuscript.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahul Singh Tomar
Department, University & Country	National Dairy Research Institute, India