

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_125518
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management in Growth parameters of citronella (<i>Cymbopogon winterianus</i> Jowitt.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically, the research work is more feasible in giving knowledge of integrated nutrient management in the most important medicinal plants like citronella. At the same time, this research will make more sustain in the future for promoting the organic farming practices farmers. SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The manuscript abstracts clearly defined the whole area of the work undertaken. It is recommended to remove the subtheme from the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript is properly written and appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, it can be scientifically proved. The used of seaweed extract and biofertilizer consortium as an organic component in an integrated nutrient management will help in promoting more soil microorganism in the soil rhizosphere which is found to be scientifically proved.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference is too old and can't be accept if not change or substitute. So, please try to add references which is not less than 10 years	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No such grammatical mistake is found	
Optional/General comments	No major mistake is there but there need to maintain some gap between some words as mention in the comment.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Punabati Heisnam
Department, University & Country	India