

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_125082
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Biofortification Of Wheat Using Biologically Synthesized Zinc Nanoparticles</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research provides valuable insights that have the potential to enhance current practices or methods, offering a meaningful contribution. I appreciate the manuscript for its approach to deal with agronomic biofortification, although the language could be improved with some grammatical corrections to ensure clarity and smooth communication of ideas.  <b>SEE ATTACHMENT</b>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and provides a clear overview of the article. It includes the essential points, such as the methodology, findings, and conclusions. However, the research problem i.e <u>bio-fortification of wheat</u> can be added in the first line to clearly state the purpose of research.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because it follows a clear and well-structured methodology that aligns with standard research practices. The data collection and analysis are carried out systematically. The findings are supported by appropriate evidence, and the interpretations are consistent with the data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references in the manuscript need to be formatted consistently to maintain a professional and standardized appearance. Please ensure that all references follow the same style, including citation order, author formatting, publication details, and punctuation. Corrections should be made to align them with the chosen referencing style (e.g., APA, MLA, Chicago) for uniformity across the manuscript.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication. However, there are instances where grammatical corrections may be necessary to improve clarity and precision. Ensuring grammatical correctness, including proper sentence structure, punctuation, and word choice, will enhance the overall readability of the manuscript. A careful review and possible revision of these areas are recommended to meet the high standards of academic writing.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript may be accepted with minor revisions. While the overall structure, content, and scientific robustness are sound, small improvements such as grammatical corrections, reference formatting, and slight adjustments to enhance clarity should be addressed.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rashmi Banoriya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, India</b>