

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_125685
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of spatial arrangement on growth rate of okra in maize/okra intercrop in Kilifi, Kenya
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript shows a possibility of increasing food production that is sustainable through intercrop that provide a ground cover by creating a microclimate as a way of mitigating water loss from the soil. It is bringing a new knowledge of intercropping a vegetable with a cereal crop. However, the author should show clearly how the intercrop is increasing yield bearing in mind that intercrop increase competition for growth resources, which can compromise yield.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Title is adequate. However, the author should delate the word <b>rate</b> since it is not measurable.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Abstract is comprehensive	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Subsections and structures are appropriate	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is scientifically correct since it shows that plant density has a great effect on the growth of both maize and okra plants since it influence the competition for growth resources, which has a great effect on yield.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References are sufficient and recent	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language is suitable for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Ian M. Kirimi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chuka University, Kenya</b>