

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125556
Title of the Manuscript:	STANDARDIZATION OF RECIPES AND STORAGE BEHAVIOUR OF VALUE-ADDED PRODUCTS FROM KNOL-KHOL (<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>gongylodes</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript on the standardization of recipes and storage behavior of value-added products from knol-khol is of great importance to the scientific community, as it provides knowledge about the nutritional potential and commercial viability of this often underutilized vegetable. By exploring different recipes and their physicochemical and sensory evaluations, the research contributes to the development of innovative and healthy food products, promoting better utilization of knol-khol and encouraging dietary diversification. Additionally, the data on storage helps establish guidelines for the conservation and consumption of this product, which can benefit both farmers and consumers. I find this manuscript valuable because it addresses the intersection of nutrition and food preservation, key areas for the future of food security.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract needs adjustments once the conclusion is finalized, as it will appear in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is highly relevant; however, it requires minor adjustments in the results and discussion. Additionally, the conclusion needs to be reformulated.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are in compliance.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uasley Caldas de Oliveira
Department, University & Country	State University of Mato Grosso, Brazil