

Genetic variability studies in F_2 populations of ridge gourd [*Luffa acutangula* L. (Roxb.)] for growth, yield and quality traits

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to study genetic variability in ridge gourd during 2024 at college of horticulture, Bagalkot. The observations were recorded on various growth, yield and quality contributing characters viz., of population-I (Arka Prasan x Sirsi Local-2) and population-II (Jaipur Long x KLR-5). The analysis of variance indicated the prevalence of sufficient genetic variation among the genotypes from all the characters studied. The high phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) were observed for node at first male flower appears, node at first female appears, TSS and crude fibre in population-I. Whereas, the traits like vine length, number of primary branches per vine, average fruit weight, fruit yield per vine and crude fibre in population-II. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance were observed for node at first male flower appears, node at first female flower appears, fruit length, fruit diameter, average fruit weight, fruit yield per vine, number of fruits per vine, number of seeds per fruit, fruit tenderness, TSS, crude fibre and vitamin C in the both F_2 populations indicating these characters are governed by additive gene action. Hence, direct selection may be followed for the improvement of ridge gourd for these characters.

Keywords: Ridge gourd, variability, heritability and genetic advance

1. INTRODUCTION

Ridge gourd *Luffa acutangula* L. (Roxb.), with a diploid chromosome number of $2n=2x=26$, belongs to the Cucurbitaceae family and is an important vegetable crop cultivated in tropical and subtropical regions worldwide (Bose and Som, 1986)^[4]. Various genotypes and cultivars of ridge gourd are grown across different areas of the country, each exhibiting diverse characteristics. This variability among genotypes can result from geographical differences or natural cross-pollination. A thorough understanding of the existing genetic variability in a crop is crucial before initiating any breeding program. The phenotypic traits of plants are primarily influenced by their genetic makeup and the environmental conditions they encounter, as well as the interaction between genotypes and the environment. Plant genotype is determined by both heritable and non-heritable factors, making it essential to differentiate observed phenotypic variability into heritable and non-heritable components. In crop improvement, the genetic variation is important as this component is transmitted from one generation to another generation. The effectiveness of selection for any trait depends not only on the amount of phenotypic and genotypic variability, but also on the magnitude of heritability. The magnitude of heritability indicates the effectiveness with which selection of genotypes can be made based on phenotypic performance (Johnson *et al.*, 1955)^[10].

[Elaborate the introduction with giving nutritional value of crop and hypothesis of study with suitable references pertaining to problem](#)

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out at College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Karnataka, during rabi 2024 in augmented design by using F_2 generation of two crosses viz., population-I (Arka Prasan x Sirsi Local-2), population-II (Jaipur Long x KLR-5) and parents. The crop received timely management practices as per recommended package of practices (Anon, 2022)^[2]. The crop was maintained properly till last harvest and observations on growth as well as yield contributing characters was noted on F_2 progenies along with parents. From each cross 200 plants were studied and taken data from all the plants. Genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation were calculated as per the formula suggested by Burton and vane (1953)^[5]. Heritability and expected genetic advance were calculated as per formula given by Johnson *et al.*, 1955^[10].

[Add spacing of planting, sowing/ planting method and method of irrigation](#)

[Also mention date of planting and age of seedling at planting](#)

The observations on vine length at harvest time (m), the number of primary branches at 45 DAP, days to first male flowering, days to first female flowering, the node of first female flowering, the node of first male flowering, sex ratio (male to female), days to first harvest and days to last harvest, number of fruits per vine, fruit length (cm), fruit weight (g), fruit diameter (mm), fruit yield per vine (kg), number of seeds per fruit, fruit tenderness (N), TSS ($^{\circ}$ Brix), crude fibre(%) and vitamin C (mg/ 100 g) were recorded in both populations.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results in the current study showed that the phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) values are higher than the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), suggesting that environmental factors have a significant impact on the performance of the F_2 population. The findings related to genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for various traits are discussed in this study are presented in Table 1 and Table 2. Higher estimates of genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) were observed for node at first male flower appearance, node at first female appearance, TSS and crude fibre were found in population-I. Whereas, the traits like vine length, number of primary branches per vine, average fruit weight, fruit yield per vine and crude fibre were observed in population-II. The existence of a broad genetic base within the population is shown by high GCV and PCV values with small differences. This suggests consistent genotype expression for these traits, allowing for the potential for these qualities to be improved through simple selection. The similar results were also observed by Choudhary and Kumar (2011)^[6], Saklesh (2016)^[17], Bhargava *et al.* (2017)^[3] in ridge gourd.

Moderate amount of GCV and PCV were observed for the traits like vine length, fruit length, fruit diameter, average fruit weight, number of fruits per vine, number of seeds per fruit, fruit tenderness and vitamin C in population-I. In population-II, it was observed for traits like node at first female flower appearance, node at first male flower appearance, fruit diameter, number of fruits per plant, number of seeds per plant, fruit tenderness and vitamin C. As this character had moderate PCV and GCV representing that the trait is controlled by both additive and non-additive gene actions. Hence, recurrent selection can be practiced for improvement. These observations are in line with the findings of Varalakshmi *et al.* (2015)^[23], Singh *et al.* (2020)^[18] and Panda *et al.* (2022)^[16] in ridge gourd.

Moderate GCV coupled with high PCV was observed for number of primary branches per vine and fruit yield per vine in population-I and for the traits like fruit length and TSS it was observed in population-II. Hence, the presence of moderate GCV and high PCV for a trait in a genetic study could indicate diverse underlying genetic factors like polygenic inheritance, epistasis, presence of genetic mutations or allelic variations, etc. contributing to the observed variability. These results are in accordance with Dubey *et al.* (2013)^[7] and Tiwari *et al.* (2018)^[22], Choudhary *et al.* (2011)^[6], Koppadet *et al.* (2015)^[12] and Panda *et al.* (2022)^[16].

Lower GCV and moderate PCV was observed for number of male flowers, number of female flowers and sex ratio in population-I and for the traits like number of male flowers and number of female flowers it was observed in population-II, which indicates the presence of moderate amount of variability for these traits and little influence of environment on these traits. These observations are in line with the findings of Manoj *et al.* (2018)^[14], Harshitha *et al.* (2019)^[9] and Thulasiram *et al.* (2022)^[21].

Low magnitude of GCV and PCV were recorded for days to first male flower, days to first female flower, days to first fruit harvest and days to last fruit harvest in population-I. In population-II it was observed for days to first male flower, days to first female flower, days to first fruit harvest, days to last fruit harvest and sex ratio. In accordance with Choudhary *et al.* (2011)^[6], Koppadet *et al.* (2015)^[12], Singh *et al.* (2017)^[19], Manoj *et al.* (2018)^[14], Methela *et al.* (2019)^[15], Harshitha *et al.* (2019)^[9], Kannan *et al.* (2019)^[11], Akhila and Singh (2020)^[1], Sravani *et al.* (2021)^[20], Durga *et al.* (2021)^[8] and Thulasiram *et al.* (2022)^[21]. This indicates the low variability for these parameters in the germplasm and preponderance of non-additive gene action. This offers very limited opportunity for improving these traits by selection. This suggests the narrow genetic base and hence the variability has to be generated for these characters through hybridization to recover transgressive segregants or through mutation breeding.

High estimates of heritability coupled with high values of GAM were observed for the characters *viz.*, number of primary branches, node at first male flower appearance, node at first female flower appearance, fruit length, fruit diameter, average fruit weight, number of fruits per vine, fruit yield per vine, number of seeds per fruit, TSS, crude fibre, fruit tenderness and vitamin C in population-I. Whereas, the traits like vein length, number of primary branches, node at first male flower, node at first female flower appearance, fruit length, fruit diameter, average fruit weight, fruit yield per vine, number of fruits per vine, number of seeds per fruit, fruit tenderness, TSS, crude fibre and vitamin C in population-II. This indicates predominance of additive components for these traits and hence direct selection would be more effective in improving these traits. These results are in accordance with matching conclusions were confirmed by Singh *et al.* (2017)^[19], Choudhary *et al.* (2011)^[6], Dubey *et al.* (2013)^[7], Varalakshmi *et al.* (2015)^[23], Harshitha *et al.* (2019)^[9] and Thulasiram *et al.* (2022)^[21].

High heritability coupled with moderate GAM were observed for the traits *viz.*, vine length, days to first male flower, days to first female flower, days to last harvest and number of male flowers in population-I. Whereas, in population-II it was observed for days to first female flower appearance, days to first male flower appearance, number of male flowers, number of female flowers and sex ratio. This indicates predominance of additive components

Table 1. Genetic variability estimates for various traits in the F₂ generation of population-I

| Sl. No | Traits | Mean | Range | PCV (%) | GCV (%) | h ² bs (%) | GAM (%) |
|--------|---|--------|---------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| 1 | Vine length at harvest time (m) | 3.48 | 1.82- 4.59 | 14.29 | 11.10 | 60.36 | 17.79 |
| 2 | Number of primary branches per vine (45DAS) | 3.01 | 1.00-5.00 | 22.01 | 18.63 | 71.68 | 32.50 |
| 3 | Days to first female flower | 39.40 | 36.00-49.00 | 6.66 | 5.81 | 76.03 | 10.45 |
| 4 | Days to first male flower | 35.66 | 32.00-46.00 | 7.77 | 7.28 | 87.70 | 14.06 |
| 5 | Node at first male flower appearance | 5.19 | 3.00-14.00 | 38.90 | 36.16 | 86.42 | 69.34 |
| 6 | Node at first female flower appearance | 9.20 | 5.00-19.00 | 25.08 | 23.49 | 87.72 | 45.39 |
| 7 | Number of male flowers | 523.38 | 346.00-656.00 | 11.51 | 9.96 | 74.88 | 17.77 |
| 8 | Number of female flowers | 21.97 | 14.00-28.00 | 11.38 | 7.49 | 43.30 | 10.17 |
| 9 | Sex ratio (M: F) | 23.96 | 18.78-34.63 | 11.05 | 8.24 | 55.69 | 12.69 |
| 10 | Days to first fruit harvest | 46.19 | 44.00-53.00 | 3.98 | 3.23 | 65.83 | 5.41 |
| 11 | Days to last fruit harvest | 105.81 | 78.00-125.00 | 8.25 | 6.83 | 68.56 | 11.67 |
| 12 | Fruit length (cm) | 27.76 | 15.00- 41.50 | 14.55 | 12.70 | 76.20 | 22.88 |
| 13 | Fruit diameter (mm) | 35.64 | 20.90-49.20 | 12.19 | 10.98 | 81.07 | 20.39 |
| 14 | Average fruit weight (g) | 163.84 | 78.00-250.70 | 18.72 | 16.87 | 81.23 | 31.37 |
| 15 | Fruit yield per vine(kg) | 2.31 | 0.96-3.40 | 22.73 | 19.72 | 75.21 | 35.27 |
| 16 | Number of fruits per vine | 14.13 | 6.00-20.00 | 16.09 | 13.39 | 69.22 | 22.98 |
| 17 | Number of seeds per fruit | 119.92 | 72.00-180.00 | 16.61 | 13.08 | 62.04 | 21.26 |
| 18 | Fruit tenderness (N) | 6.28 | 3.68-8.54 | 19.98 | 18.49 | 72.66 | 33.56 |
| 19 | TSS (° B) | 3.29 | 2.10-8.21 | 24.12 | 22.04 | 83.50 | 41.54 |
| 20 | Crude fibre (%) | 0.62 | 0.22-1.61 | 30.89 | 29.30 | 89.99 | 57.34 |
| 21 | Vitamin- C (mg/100g) | 10.65 | 0.28-13.74 | 12.01 | 11.47 | 91.21 | 22.60 |

GCV- Genotypic coefficient of variation

h²bs- Heritability (Broad sense)

PCV- Phenotypic coefficient of variation

GAM- Genetic advance over mean

S. Em- Standard Error deviation from mean

Table 2. Genetic variability estimates for various traits in the F₂ generation of population-II

| Sl. No | Traits | Mean | Range | PCV (%) | GCV (%) | h ² bs (%) | GAM (%) |
|--------|---|--------|---------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| 1 | Vine length at harvest (m) | 3.03 | 1.62- 4.33 | 22.83 | 21.38 | 87.69 | 41.30 |
| 2 | Number of primary branches per vine (45DAS) | 2.75 | 1.00- 5.00 | 37.64 | 36.39 | 93.42 | 72.55 |
| 3 | Days to first female flower | 40.01 | 37.00- 51.00 | 8.83 | 6.45 | 81.42 | 11.43 |
| 4 | Days to first male flower | 36.14 | 34.00-39.00 | 6.53 | 4.73 | 60.72 | 10.35 |
| 5 | Node at first male flower appearance | 5.78 | 4.00-9.00 | 19.12 | 17.48 | 77.17 | 32.79 |
| 6 | Node at first female flower appearance | 10.43 | 5.00-14.00 | 14.63 | 11.86 | 71.63 | 21.13 |
| 7 | Number of male flowers | 520.18 | 346.00-675.00 | 11.35 | 9.42 | 68.93 | 16.14 |
| 8 | Number of female flowers | 21.17 | 14.00-28.00 | 11.23 | 9.38 | 69.74 | 16.15 |
| 9 | Sex ratio | 24.70 | 19.23-31.00 | 9.99 | 8.90 | 79.46 | 16.38 |
| 10 | Days to first fruit harvest | 46.99 | 44.00-51.00 | 5.64 | 3.80 | 46.56 | 5.53 |
| 11 | Days to last fruit harvest | 106.97 | 85.00-120.00 | 9.35 | 7.27 | 51.34 | 10.79 |
| 12 | Fruit length (cm) | 25.24 | 12.78-38.90 | 20.11 | 19.47 | 93.76 | 38.90 |
| 13 | Fruit diameter (cm) | 36.56 | 21.29-55.30 | 17.70 | 17.19 | 94.24 | 34.42 |
| 14 | Average fruit weight (g) | 129.42 | 59.40-226.00 | 23.49 | 21.71 | 85.44 | 41.40 |
| 15 | Fruit yield per vine(kg) | 1.79 | 0.66-2.71 | 26.08 | 22.95 | 77.41 | 41.65 |
| 16 | Number of fruits per vine | 13.85 | 9.00-22.00 | 15.94 | 13.58 | 72.50 | 23.85 |
| 17 | Number of seeds per fruit | 105.49 | 61.00-186.00 | 17.40 | 13.44 | 60.66 | 21.42 |
| 18 | Fruit tenderness (N) | 6.12 | 3.45-9.20 | 15.70 | 12.79 | 66.37 | 21.50 |
| 19 | TSS (° B) | 3.21 | 1.90-5.40 | 20.59 | 16.75 | 66.18 | 28.11 |
| 20 | Crude fibre (%) | 0.48 | 0.09-1.23 | 38.40 | 36.87 | 92.18 | 33.03 |
| 21 | Vitamin- C (mg/100g) | 10.55 | 7.17-13.70 | 10.47 | 10.15 | 93.93 | 20.29 |

GCV- Genotypic coefficient of variation
 PCV- Phenotypic coefficient of variation

h²bs- Heritability (Broad sense)
 GAM- Genetic advance over mean S.Em- Standard Error deviation from mean

for these traits and hence direct selection would be more effective in improving these traits. These results are accordance with findings of Manoj *et al.* (2018)^[14], Kannan *et al.* (2019)^[11], Akhila and Singh (2020)^[1] and Thulasiram *et al.* (2022)^[21].

Moderate heritability and GAM were observed for number of female flowers and sex ratio in population-I. Whereas, the trait days to last harvest in population-II, which indicated limited scope for improvement of these characters through direct selection. These results are accordance with Harshitha *et al.* (2019)^[9], Singh *et al.* (2017)^[19] and Panda *et al.* (2022)^[16]. High heritability coupled with low GAM were observed for days to first fruit harvest in population-I and population-II, which indicated the effect of the environment on the expression of particular traits. A high heritability with low genetic advance may also indicate non-additive gene action and selection for such trait not be effective. These results are in accordance with Kumari *et al.* (2018)^[13], Akhila and Singh (2020)^[1] and Thulasiram *et al.* (2022)^[21].

4. CONCLUSION

The analysis of F₂ data in both populations indicate the presence of good amount of genetic variation for most of characters. High GCV and PCV were observed for node at first male flower appears, node at first female appears, TSS and crude fibre in population-I. Whereas, the traits like vine length, number of primary branches per vine, average fruit weight, fruit yield per vine and crude fibre in population-II. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent over mean were observed for node at first male flower appears, node at first female flower appears, fruit length, fruit diameter, average fruit weight, fruit yield per vine, number of fruits per vine, number of seeds per fruit, fruit tenderness, TSS, crude fibre and vitamin C in the both F₂ populations. Additive inheritance is predominant in these characters. Thus there is ample scope for improvement of these characters through simple selection. [Mention the strategy for utilization of identified plants/ population for future use.](#)

References

1. Akhila K, Singh D. Genetic variability in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (L.) Roxb.). Int.J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci. 2020;9(10):2774-2783.
2. Anonymous. Integrated handbook of horticulture (Kannada), Univ. Hort. Sci.2022; 103-104.
3. Bhargava AK, Singh VB, Kumar P, Meena RK. Efficiency of selection based on genetic variability in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L. (Roxb.). J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem. 2017; 6(4):1651-1655.
4. BoseTK, SomMG. Vegetable crops in India. Nayapokash, Bidhausarani, Calcutta. India.1986;107-114.
5. ButronGW, De VaneEH. Estimating heritability in tall-fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicated clonal material. Agron. J. 1953; 45:478-481.
6. Choudhary BR, Kumar S.Genetic analysis in ridge gourd [*Luffa acutangula* (Roxb.) L.] under hot arid conditions. Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed. 2011; 28(8):156-168.
7. Dubey RK, Singh V, Upadhyay G.Genetic variability and inter relationship among some ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L.) accessions under foot hills of Arunachal Pradesh. Prog. Hort. 2013; 45(1):191-197.
8. Durga PM,Chinthalapudi, Kranthi Rekha G, Usha Kumari K, Uma Jyothi K, Narasimharao S. Variability studies in F₃ population of ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula*) for yield and yield attributing traits. Pharma innov. 2012; 10(7):612-615.
9. Harshitha S, Sood M, Indiresk KM. Variability and heritability studies for horticultural traits in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (L.) Roxb.). Int. J. bio-resour. Stress Manag. 2019; 10(4):335-339.
10. Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Genotypic and phenotypic correlations in soyabean and their implications in selection. J. Agron. 1955; 37:477-483.
11. Kannan A, Rajamanickam C, Krishamoorthy V, Arunachalam P. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in F₄ generation of (*Luffa acutangula* (Roxb) L.). Int. J. Chem. Stud. 2019; 7(3):208-213.

12. Koppad SB, Chavan M, Hallur RH. Character association studies and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield attributing traits in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (L.) Roxb.). *Electronic J. Plant Breed.*, 2016; 7(2):275-281.
13. Kumari M, Kumar J, Kumari A, Singh VK, Rani N, Kumar A. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield attributing traits in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *Curr. J. Appl. Sci. Technol.* 2018; 31(4):1-8.
14. Manoj YB, Lakshmana D, Ganapathi M, Chandana BC. Studies on character association and genetic variability for important traits in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L.). *Green Farming Int. J.* 2018; 9(2):244-247.
15. Methela NJ, Islam MS, Mitu N, Latif MA. Mean performance and heritability studies of some ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L.) genotypes. *Research in Agriculture Livestock and Fisheries.*, 2019; 6(1):45-55.
16. Panda M, Mohanty A, Sarkar S, Sahu GC, Tripathy P, Das SK, Patnaik A. Variability studies in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (L.) Roxb.). *J. Pharm. Innov.* 2022; 11(4):1716-1719.
17. Saklesh. Genetic variability studies in ridge gourd. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Orissa Univ. Agric. and Tech., Bhubaneswar (India). 2016.
18. Singh RP, Mohan J, Dharmendra S. Studies on genetic variability and heritability in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L.). *Agric. Sci. Dig.* 2020; 22(4):279-280.
19. Singh V, Rana DK, Shah KN. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in some strains of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) under subtropical conditions of garhwalhimalaya. *Plant Arch.* 2017; 17(1):564-568.
20. Sravani Y, Rekha GK, Ramana CV, Naidu LN, Suneetha DS. Studies on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in F₂ generation of ridge gourd. *J. Pharm. Innov.* 2021; 10(7):927-930.
21. Thulasiram LB, Ranpise SA, Bhalekar MN. Variability studies in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L. Roxb.). *Int. J. Veg. Sci.* 2022; 2(5):167-177.
22. Tiwari NK, Pandey AK, Sing UN, Singh VB. Genetic variability, heritability in narrow sense and genetic advance per cent of mean in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.* 2018; 7(2):2608-2610.
23. Varalakshmi B, Pitchaimuthu M, Rao ES, Manjunath KSS, Swathi SH. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L.). *J. Hort. Sci.* 2015; 10(2):21-26.