

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_125021
Title of the Manuscript:	Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of MALDI-TOF for the Identification of Waterborne Pathogenic Bacteria
Type of the Article	Short communication

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is very important to the science community because it answers the question of "what can be found drinking water?" The detailed analysis showing the microorganisms in water network will help policy makers understand the relevance of regular microbiological analysis in wastewater management.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is concise.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Some sections need a little work done like the section for result presentation. I attached a document highlighting the areas to work on.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I want to commend the work done in this manuscript; it is technically sound. However, there are a few corrections that should be done to further show the depth of work done here. Finally, I suggest the method should be elaborated further in the text.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate. However, I would suggest the author includes more recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is good and consistent. It is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	I enjoyed reviewing your work and would encourage you work on the corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Barisiale Suka Baranu
Department, University & Country	Rivers State University, Nigeria