

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125150
Title of the Manuscript:	Frontiers of Artificial Intelligence in Agricultural Sector: Trends and Transformations
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript can help scientists to broaden their understanding of how AI may evolve and how it can improved agriculture as a result. Such specificity helps this study to reveal a number of use cases involving AI in farming, including precision farming, crop monitoring, and pest management, among others. The paper is limited to the topic of how AI is being implemented in agriculture and its opportunities and constraints hence it is informative to researchers, policymakers, and the agricultural professionals. In general, I am glad of the manuscript that presents and discusses the opportunities and challenges of AI application in agriculture, as well as its contribution to achieving higher efficiency and practically browsing young farming systems.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The summary section gives a clear insight of the research undertaken on the effect of artificial intelligence on agriculture. It overviews the present and potential uses of artificial intelligence, problems, and opportunities concerning the implementation of AI in agriculture. As the abstract, I would expand one idea where AI can be implemented in the agricultural field and provide the cases where these modes may lead to particular results. In the same way, it will also be best to mention any new strategies or tech approaches mentioned in the study to overcome drawbacks or limitations to the use of AI in agriculture. It resembles the present guidelines more realistic additions would enhance the abstract and present more specific and concrete information with regards to the research outcomes and the application to the agricultural industry.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>No</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please add some figures/ graphs to make it more attractive and effective. 2. I suggest removing numbering as it is not in the format. 3. References should be from 2021- 2024. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>No not sufficient. Please add new references.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor	
Optional/General comments	[1] Consider expanding the conclusion to include a detailed restatement of findings, discussion on implications, language refinement, and addressing limitations for destiny studies. [2] I advise including charts/graphs for the result you are discussing and approaching the readers, which will decorate the information you describe in your findings in your paper. [3] Consider expanding the conclusion to include a detailed restatement of findings, discussion on implications, language refinement, and addressing limitations for destiny studies (as in tabular form).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Perna Mehta
Department, University & Country	GD Rungta College of Science and Technology, India