

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_124829
Title of the Manuscript:	Improving the germination performance of kalmegh (<i>Andrographis paniculata</i> wall. ex Nees) through hydropriming, halo-priming and osmopriming under salinity condition
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Study related to Salinity mitigation Work is based on one of the important medicinal plant kalmegh (<i>Andrographis paniculata</i>) Priming is a better way to improve seed germination.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Use whole number values for vigor index Use correct unit for fresh & dry weight (indicate how many seedlings being weighed) Check for grammatical & typological errors.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Study related to Salinity mitigation Work is based on one of the important medicinal plant kalmegh (<i>Andrographis paniculata</i>) Priming is a better way to improve seed germination. Correct statistical design & data interpretation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Try to include further more recent references related to the study	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Use whole number values for vigor index Use correct unit for fresh & dry weight (indicate how many seedlings being weighed) Check for grammatical & typological errors. Use either Vigor or Vigour every where don't use both terms. Use Italics font for the scientific names. For graphs give chart & axis titles, don't use same colour pattern for all graphs	
Optional/General comments	Minor corrections have to be carried out as indicated.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ragupathi K P
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India