

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_123872
Title of the Manuscript:	Narratives of the Challenges Faced by College Women in Medical-Related Courses Post-Pandemic
Type of the Article	Qualitative Research

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The area of research is significant due to its focus on gender sensitivity and its exploration of the post-pandemic impacts on women in medical colleges. Particularly, the context of the study is interesting, with a phenomenological approach that attempts to delve into the lived experiences of the participants. However, the manuscript lacks proper structuring according to academic standards. It requires major revisions to meet the expectations of the international research community. Additionally, the manuscript lacks scientific rigor.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes Alternatively, "An Insight into the Lived Experiences of Medical College Women Post-Pandemic"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract needs to be rewritten to provide specific details about the methodology, sample, and results. Currently, it is too generic. It should clearly state the aim of the study, the use of qualitative method, the phenomenological approach, and the sampling technique. Additionally, it should offer a brief overview of the procedure. The results should be summarized in a few lines, followed by a concluding statement on the study's limitations or implications. Avoid elaborating on the general significance of the study in the abstract; keep it direct and academically comprehensive.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The manuscript requires major restructuring. Kindly go through standard researches of this journal to understand the nuances of structuring. In introduction, remove separate headings of review of literature, social value of research and theoretical framework. They need to be integrated in the introduction itself. Do not dissect literature review in different sections. In method, refrain from duplicity in content with respect to ethical considerations. Removal of separate heading on expected & potential outcomes is suggested. Sub sections H, I, J, K, L, M are misplaced under method. They are not required under the method section.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript lacks scientific robustness, with an odd structuring and insufficient support for its arguments. The discussion is generic, offering only a few unique insights. Although the authors have identified gaps in the existing literature and provided a theoretical framework in the introduction, it is not well explained or effectively integrated into the discussion. Additionally, detailed demographic information about the participants is missing, which is crucial in qualitative studies.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>References are sufficient. It is advised to reframe them as per APA format. The journal name needs to be in italics.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript has grammatical errors. Eg: Pg 1 “COVID-19 pandemic has indeed had profound and widespread repercussions on the field of education”; in objective “to explored” and more needs to be corrected. It is suggested that the authors run a grammatical check on their manuscript and align it in the past tense.	
Optional/General comments	While the research has included previous literature sufficiently, it lacks precise scientific robustness essential in a research work. The authors are strongly suggested to take a look at published researches to understand the level of precision required. It will be an enriching learning experience for the authors and shall aid in reframing their current manuscript. The specific details on inclusion and exclusion criteria in methodology are appreciated. A table with verbatim of participants and the themes extracted shall make the results section better. Please insert verbatim in discussion as well to make the research more robust. Culture specific unique insights can be highlighted in the discussion section. Limitations of the research need to be addressed while summarizing.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kritika Chaudhary
Department, University & Country	Delhi University, India