

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_123872
Title of the Manuscript:	Narratives of the Challenges Faced by College Women in Medical-Related Courses Post-Pandemic
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is a significant contribution to the scientific community as it addresses the multifaceted challenges faced by college women in medical-related courses in the post-pandemic context. By providing a detailed analysis of increased academic pressure, mental health struggles, family responsibilities, technology gaps, and gendered expectations, it offers valuable insights into the unique experiences of these female students. The manuscript not only highlights critical areas for intervention but also proposes practical recommendations for enhancing support systems and curricula, making it a useful resource for educators, policymakers, and mental health professionals. I appreciate this manuscript for its thorough examination of these issues and its actionable recommendations, which can help shape more inclusive and supportive educational environments for women in medicine.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is suitable.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The Abstract did not include the methodology which was followed in the study as well as a summary of the major research findings. I suggest that the author follows the structure of the abstract.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>I find some subsections of the manuscript disturbing. For e.g Section F 1.2 why did the author list the items instead of writing on paragraph form as well as all the sections of the Research Methods. I suggest they write all the research methods in paragraph form instead of point form.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its rigorous application of a phenomenological approach to understand the lived experiences of college women in medical-related courses post-pandemic. The use of in-depth interviews and thematic analysis, guided by established frameworks, ensures a comprehensive and nuanced exploration of the participants' challenges and coping strategies. The manuscript is well-supported by current and relevant literature, providing a solid foundation for its findings and recommendations. Additionally, the detailed ethical considerations and methodological transparency further enhance the credibility and reliability of the study, affirming its contribution to the field.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =</p>	<p>Based on the details provided in your manuscript, the references cited are both relevant and recent, covering key areas such as gender disparities, the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, and intersectionality in education. However, to ensure the most comprehensive and up-to-date review of the literature, consider including additional references that are more current 2022 to 2024.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The author must use the right tenses in the methods section,</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I was not impressed with the literature review though it is relevant it could be enhanced by including more recent studies and a broader range of sources. This would strengthen the contextual background and provide a more robust basis for the study's findings.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fortunate Mandipaka
Department, University & Country	Nelson Mandela University, South Africa