

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_123872
Title of the Manuscript:	Narratives of the Challenges Faced by College Women in Medical-Related Courses Post-Pandemic
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript makes an important contribution to the scientific community by highlighting the unique struggles female students face in the aftermath of educational disruptions. It addresses critical issues such as increased academic pressure, mental health challenges, and gendered expectations, revealing systemic barriers to success in medical fields. The study advocates for flexible learning models and enhanced mental health resources, emphasizing the need for educational equity. By providing actionable insights, this research can lead to meaningful changes that empower women to thrive in their medical careers.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Title suggest to slightly modify into "Challenges Faced by College Women in Medical-Related Courses Post-Pandemic"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>There are only 110 word in abstract, so author need to enlarge it not less than 200 words. Author need to write the background sentence and methodology in abstract to enlarge it.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>There are some corrections mentions in manuscript in track change mode.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its careful methodological design and ethical rigor. The use of a phenomenological approach and in-depth interviews effectively captures the lived experiences of college women in medical-related courses, aligning with the study's objective of exploring post-pandemic challenges. Purposive sampling, clear inclusion criteria, and thorough ethical considerations ensure a representative sample and participant protection. Systematic thematic analysis, including member checking and peer review, enhances the validity of the findings, while strict adherence to ethical guidelines, such as informed consent and data integrity, further reinforces the study's credibility.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Reference are somewhat sufficient, if possible, need to add some latest literatures (5-10 literatures)</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bishnu Maya Joshi
Department, University & Country	Tribhuvan University, Nepal