

## ReviewForm

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of EnergyResearch and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_124886
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the Combustion Performance and Energy Potential of Groundnuts Vines and Pumpkin Straws
Type of the Article	

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript brings relevant information about the use of waste as an energy source, with a view to mitigating global climate change, reducing the pressure on natural resources that are currently overused and, moreover, damaging the health of the population.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes, It is.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is clear, but some information is missing, such as the material and methods, which are not mentioned. In addition, I think the introductory part could be shorter and simpler with less information.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes, It is.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is adequate, with a few details to consider. Grammar needs to be revised as a point of attention. Some paragraphs are missing references and some are out of date, please try to bring more recent data. The discussion part could be improved, especially from thermogravimetry analysis onwards. The graphs need attention in terms of visual appearance, in order to make the data easier to read, with too many grid lines and colors. The units of measurement need to be standardized throughout the text. Finally, the conclusion could be improved by removing the information that is the result and giving an overview of what this data can mean in practice, making a connection with the proposed objectives.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> -	No, there are several excerpts without references and some are out of date, please try to bring more recent and updated data to the study.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Needs revision.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall, the manuscript is acceptable, considering the points for improvement. Remember when citing the name of the species to italicize it and include its descriptor; Include more information on where the waste comes from; Cite other agricultural waste that is used as bioenergy in the text. It is important to include a table in the Material and Methods describing the samples used. Consider putting codes for each treatment, and include them in the captions of each figure.... (T1 T2 T3...)	

ReviewForm

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Jhonatan Willian Moreira</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Goias, Brazil</b>