

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_124735
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Magnetized Irrigation And Its Potential In Sustainable Agriculture- A Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is well structured and well written. There are limited grammatical errors in the manuscript. The authors consulted enough literature.</p> <p>The title is not at variance with the body of the manuscript, and it is scientific enough. The content of the review article will benefit the scientific community. If applied correctly, this technology will help to improve food security, use water efficiently and help to alleviate the impact of soil salinity and heavy metal contamination on crops.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes. The title is suitable.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes. The abstract is suitable and perfectly summarizes the whole write up. I have no suggestion for any addition or deletion to the Abstract.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, they are appropriate.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>As a review article, the authors consulted enough literature.</p> <p>The literature consulted support the title of the manuscript.</p> <p>This manuscript is also well structured.</p> <p>Although the process involved with magnetized irrigation looks strenuous and needs accuracy and precision, so many researchers have done it successfully. This article thereby challenges researchers, especially in a country like India with increasing water demand from the agricultural and other sectors to look into magnetized irrigation, so as to reduce pressure on government for national water resources.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references were enough and sufficient. About 70% of the references cited are recent i.e. within the last 10 years.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Minor revision is required.  The language (English) quality of this manuscript is of high standard and quality. It makes it very easy for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript should be accepted with minor corrections / revision.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muinat Nike Lewu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>South Africa</b>