

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_124958
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Knowledge Level of COVID-19 Vaccines and Vaccine Hesitancy Amongst Final-Year Medical Students in Southeast Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the manuscript presents a justifying idea, given the importance of health professionals and medical students adhering to vaccination against COVID 19 - the methodology, through survey, seems well suited to what we want to investigate - Despite this, there are weaknesses to be considered so that the manuscript can be approved. for publication - In the introduction: I suggest talking about COVID, the repercussions of the pandemic, the production of the vaccine more quickly, involving several manufacturers, even this production is associated with some segments of the population. In other words, with this the authors must convey the idea of the established scenario, so that it makes a connection with the reaction of these professionals and students. The lack of these antecedents undermines the link that can be established between objectives and results. - Regarding methods: the structure of the questionnaire was missing, how many questions, what study variables, how it was applied, how to recruit this population? - In the results I missed presenting the total population, how many recruited? How many responded to the questionnaire? Then make a Table 1 presenting this study population, grouping by categories (health professionals, among these? nurses? doctors...) and medical students (which period?), if they have demographic characteristics (age, race, etc. ..) 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The abstract must present the context that provides an introduction to the research problem and/or study. It identifies the focus or central question considered in the work: "Why was this study carried out?" This question needs to be answered in a few sentences, briefly saying what the article is about. Then the purposes of the study. The summary must present the population, type of study, study period, and analysis plan in the methods. Present the main results, discussion and conclusion 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>-It is a current and relevant topic. It can positively affect professionals in terms of education and health. It is an easy methodology with high reproducibility power in other subsequent studies</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>No. It is necessary to bring references about how the COVID vaccines were produced, because many workers were involved, etc. What does the literature already say about resistance to COVID vaccines?</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	You need to review the graphs, remove the pie chart, see the possibility of replacing graphs with tables. Present the results and promote a link with your conclusions	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Helena Cristina Alves Vieira Lima
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Bahia, Brazil