

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_123453
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Cropping Systems on Soil Nutrient Profiles in Northern Punjab
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study carried out on the effect of various cropping system on soil chemical properties and the variation across the soil depth. The result supports the theoretical knowledge of cropping system benefits in improving soil nutrients and maintaining agricultural productivity. It also suggests the soil management and practices benefits in nutrient status of the a soil under a cropping system.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it adds scientific evidence in system of cropping system importance	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the section to be removed is indicated in track change	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The logic behind recommending various cropping system for a specific situation is supported by the study. It is simple and was presented for readers to capture the result for future recommendation. The chemical properties related in the soil are well addressed, analysed and presented in simple language.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. But literatures supporting the result may be need some more discussion.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the author suggested to go through correcting the language expressed for the reporting the result and activities. Citing authors a bit lack uniformity. The tense of expression in some section, as track changed, need correction.	
Optional/General comments	Accept the manuscript for publication with minor correction.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zenebe Mekonnen Adare
Department, University & Country	Arba Minch University, Ethiopia