

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_125497
Title of the Manuscript:	PRODUCTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF MINERAL-ENRICHED NADEP COMPOSTS FOR ENHANCED SOIL FERTILITY
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author's research is scientifically sound and more focused on environmental issues that are required and appropriate for the current situation. The experiment that is more focused on aquatic weeds control is beneficial and the approach of control through utilisation was good.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No changes are required	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research and its execution are scientifically sound and robust. The approach of the experiment is more focused on the environmental issues which are required at the moment.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, the references are not sufficient. There is a need for the addition of more and recent references. The recent reference was 2020 which makes the manuscript outdated.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments	Yes, but there is a need for revision	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
Optional/General comments	<p>Abstract: No correction is required Keywords: Add only 5 keywords that are more suitable to research. Don't use treatment details. Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Try to elaborate research gap/ problem and how you can solve it with your research • Need to modify with more recent and more references. <p>Materials and methods: Try to merge the location of the experiment with the materials collected as it is repeated. (Model organic farm vellayani)</p> <p>Result and discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Try to add treatment details in brackets along with the treatment notations wherever it is relevant. • Maintain uniform font size in figures • Need to have a discussion as it is missing in some sub-units • Add recent supporting references. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chakravarthy Thejesh
Department, University & Country	Lovely Professional University, India