

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Medicinal Plants</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_124644
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Ethnopharmacological Survey on <i>Chenopodium ambrosioides</i> Used by Togolese Traditional Practitioners for Cough Treatment</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Ethnopharmacological survey</b>

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This research underscores the need to further explore and validate the therapeutic properties of local flora, which could lead to the development of effective treatments for prevalent health issues in the region.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	I suggest for : Ethnopharmacological Insights into the Use of <i>Chenopodium ambrosioides</i> for Cough Treatment Among Togolese Traditional Healers	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Suggest that : The whole plant (72%) and leaves (22%) are the primary parts utilized for medicinal purposes. Decoction (77.50%) is the main preparation method, with oral (80.83%) and cutaneous (10.83%) routes being the most common modes of administration. The findings from this study provide a solid ethnopharmacological foundation for future pharmacological and toxicological investigations into <i>Chenopodium ambrosioides</i> .	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>yes</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The article employs established analytical frameworks, including the Analytical Flora of Benin and the PROTA4U database for botanical identification, enhancing the reliability of species identification. The quantitative analysis methods—User Value (UV) and Frequency of Citation (FC)—provide a clear statistical foundation for assessing the importance of various medicinal plants, supporting the findings with solid numerical data.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>No</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	no	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Drug Discovery Strategy:</b> The point about in vitro and in vivo evaluations is well made. It may be beneficial to briefly outline potential methodologies for these evaluations, which would clarify the next steps in the research process.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>N.P.M. Mohamed Tariq</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Islamiah College, India</b>